

Bevacizumab:

Avastin[®]; Mvasi[™]; Zirabev[™] (Intravenous)

ONCOLOGY



Document Number: EOCCO-0450

Last Review Date: 07/01/2021 Date of Origin: 05/01/2019 Dates Reviewed: 05/2019, 07/2019, 09/2019, 10/2019, 01/2020, 04/2020, 07/2020, 10/2020, 01/2021, 04/2021, 07/2021

I. Length of Authorization ⁶

Coverage will be provided for six months and may be renewed (unless otherwise specified).

• For CNS cancers (symptom management), coverage will be provided for 12 weeks and may NOT be renewed.

II. Dosing Limits

- A. Quantity Limit (max daily dose) [NDC Unit]:
 - 100 mg/4 mL vial: 3 vials 21 days
 - 400 mg/16 mL vial: 4 vials per 21 days
- B. Max Units (per dose and over time) [HCPCS Unit]:

Oncology indications (J9035/Q5107/Q5118):

- <u>Small Bowel Adenocarcinoma/Ampullary Cancer</u>:
 - o 60 billable units per 14 days
- <u>CRC, CNS & RCC</u>:
 - o 120 billable units per 14 days
- <u>All other indications:</u>
 - o 170 billable units per 21 days
 - o 120 billable units per 14 days

III. Initial Approval Criteria¹⁻³

Coverage is provided in the following conditions:

Patient must have a contraindication or intolerance or documented history of failure to a biosimilar bevacizumab prior to consideration of Avastin; AND



• Patient is at least 18 years of age; AND

Universal Criteria 1

- Patient has no recent history of hemoptysis (i.e., the presence of ≥2.5 mL of blood in sputum) OR any grade 3-4 hemorrhage; AND
- Patient must not have had a surgical procedure within the preceding 28 days or have a surgical wound that has not fully healed; AND

Colorectal Cancer (CRC) + ± 1-4,17-22

- Will not be used as part of adjuvant treatment; AND
- Will not be used in combination with an anti-EGFR agent (e.g., panitumumab or cetuximab); AND
 - o Patient has metastatic, unresectable, or advanced disease; AND
 - Used as first-line or subsequent therapy in combination with a fluoropyrimidine- (e.g., 5-fluorouracil/5-FU or capecitabine) or irinotecan-based regimen; OR
 - Used in combination with a fluoropyrimidine-irinotecan or fluoropyrimidine-oxaliplatinbased regimen (if not used first line) as second-line therapy for metastatic disease that has progressed on a first-line bevacizumab containing regimen +; OR
 - Used in combination with trifluridine and tipiracil as subsequent therapy for advanced or metastatic disease after progression on all available regimens

Non-Squamous Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) + 1-4,10,12,13,23,24,41e-43e,47e,172e

- Used as first-line therapy for recurrent, locally advanced, unresectable, or metastatic disease in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel ⁺; OR
- Used for recurrent, advanced, or metastatic disease (excluding locoregional recurrence or symptomatic local disease with no evidence of disseminated disease) or mediastinal lymph node recurrence with prior radiation therapy; AND
 - \circ $\,$ Used as first-line therapy; AND
 - Used for one of the following:
 - ► EGFR, ALK, ROS1, BRAF, NTRK1/2/3, MET exon 14 skipping mutation, and RET rearrangement negative* tumors and PD-L1 < 1% in patients with PS ≤ 1; OR</p>
 - ► EGFR, ALK, ROS1, BRAF, NTRK1/2/3, MET exon 14 skipping mutation, and RET rearrangement negative tumors^{*} and PD-L1 \ge 1% in patients with PS \le 2; OR
 - BRAF V600E-mutation, NTRK1/2/3 gene fusion, MET exon 14 skipping mutation, or RET rearrangement positive tumors in patients with PS ≤ 1; AND
 - Used in combination with:
 - Atezolizumab, carboplatin and paclitaxel (excluding use in patients with RET rearrangement positive tumors); OR
 - \circ Used as subsequent therapy in patients with PS ≤ 1; AND



- Used for one of the following:
 - > EGFR, ALK, or ROS1 positive tumors and prior targeted therapy§; OR
 - BRAF V600E-mutation, NTRK1/2/3 gene fusion, MET exon 14 skipping mutation, or RET rearrangement positive tumors; OR
 - PD-L1 expression-positive (PD-L1 ≥ 1%) tumors that are EGFR, ALK, ROS1, BRAF, NTRK1/2/3, MET exon 14 skipping mutation, and RET negative* with prior PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor therapy but no prior platinum-doublet chemotherapy; AND
- Used in combination with:
 - Carboplatin and paclitaxel; OR
 - Atezolizumab, carboplatin and paclitaxel (excluding use in patients who have received prior PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor therapy or who have EGFR, ALK, and RET rearrangement positive tumors); OR
- O Used as continuation maintenance therapy (bevacizumab must have been included in patient's first-line chemotherapy regimen) in patients with PS ≤ 2 who achieved tumor response or stable disease after first-line systemic therapy; AND
 - Used as a single agent; OR
 - Used in combination with atezolizumab following a first-line atezolizumab/carboplatin/paclitaxel/bevacizumab regimen; OR
- o Used in combination with erlotinib for sensitizing EGFR mutation positive disease; AND
 - Used as first-line therapy; OR
 - Used as continuation of therapy following disease progression on erlotinib with bevacizumab for asymptomatic disease, symptomatic brain lesions, or symptomatic systemic limited metastases

* Note: If there is insufficient tissue to allow testing for all of EGFR, ALK, ROS1, BRAF, NTRK1/2/3, MET, and RET, repeat biopsy and/or plasma testing should be done. If these are not feasible, treatment is guided by available results and, if unknown, these patients are treated as though they do not have driver oncogenes.

Cervical Cancer + ± 1-4,28

- Patient has persistent, recurrent, or metastatic disease; AND
- Used as first-line therapy in combination with paclitaxel AND either cisplatin, carboplatin, or topotecan

Renal Cell Carcinoma (RCC) + 1-4,27,65e,68e,74e-78e

- Used in combination with interferon alfa for metastatic disease as first-line therapy for clear cell histology †; OR
- Patient has metastatic or relapsed disease; AND



- Used in combination with everolimus as first-line therapy in patients with non-clear cell histology ‡; OR
- Used in combination with erlotinib as first- or second-line therapy in patients with non-clear cell histology advanced papillary disease including hereditary leiomyomatosis and renal cell cancer (HLRCC) ‡

Central Nervous System (CNS) Cancer 1-4,6,25,26,81e,90e,97e,151e,153e

- Used for symptom management related to radiation necrosis, poorly controlled vasogenic edema, or mass effect as single-agent short-course therapy; AND
 - Patient has a diagnosis of one of the following other CNS cancers ‡:
 - Infiltrative Supratentorial Astrocytoma/Oligodendroglioma (Low-Grade, WHO Grade
 II); OR
 - Primary CNS Lymphoma; OR
 - Meningiomas; OR
 - Brain or Spine metastases; OR
 - Medulloblastoma; OR
 - Glioblastoma or Anaplastic Gliomas; OR
 - Intracranial or Spinal Ependymoma (excluding subependymoma); OR
- Used as a single agent OR in combination with lomustine or temozolomide in patients with recurrent Glioblastomas + ‡

Ovarian Cancer † ‡ Φ 1,3,4,11,29-32,103e,110e,116e,120e,166e

- Patient has malignant stage II-IV sex cord-stromal tumors ‡; AND
 - Used as single agent therapy for clinically relapsed disease; OR
- Patient has epithelial ovarian or fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer +; AND
 - o Patient has persistent or recurrent disease; AND
 - Bevacizumab has not been used previously; AND
 - Patient is not experiencing an immediate biochemical relapse (i.e., rising CA-125 without radiographic evidence of disease); AND
 - Patient has platinum sensitive disease; AND
 - Used as a single agent; OR
 - Used in combination with niraparib; OR
 - Used in combination with carboplatin AND PEGylated liposomaldoxorubicin; OR
 - Patient has platinum resistant disease; AND
 - Used as a single agent; OR



- Used in combination with one of the following: oral cyclophosphamide,
 PEGylated liposomal doxorubicin, paclitaxel, or topotecan †; OR
- Used for rising CA-125 levels or clinical relapse in patients who have received no prior chemotherapy in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin; OR
- Used as maintenance therapy; **AND**
 - Used following primary therapy including bevacizumab; AND
 - Used as a single agent in patients that are BRCA1/2 wild-type or unknown and homologous recombination (HR) proficient or status unknown; OR
 - Used in combination with olaparib; AND
 - > Patient is BRCA1/2 wild-type or unknown and HR deficient; OR
 - > Patient has a germline or somatic BRCA1/2 mutation; **OR**
 - Used as single agent following recurrence therapy with chemotherapy plus bevacizumab for platinum-sensitive disease; OR
 - Used in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin for stable disease following neoadjuvant therapy as continued maintenance therapy; OR
- Used as neoadjuvant therapy for endometrioid or serous histology in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin; **AND**
 - Patient is a poor surgical candidate or has a low likelihood of optimal cytoreduction; OR
- o Used as adjuvant therapy in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin; AND
 - Patient has pathologic stage II-IV disease; OR
 - Patient is a poor surgical candidate or has a low likelihood of optimal cytoreduction;
 AND
 - Patient has endometrioid or serous histology; AND
 - Used after interval debulking surgery (IDS) in patients with a response or stable disease to neoadjuvant therapy

Endometrial Carcinoma (Uterine Neoplasms) ‡ 4,35,133e-136e

- Used as single agent therapy for disease that has progressed on prior cytotoxic chemotherapy; OR
- Used in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel for advanced and recurrent disease

Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma (MPM)* ‡ 4,37,137e

- Patient has unresectable disease OR clinical stage IIIB or IV disease, sarcomatoid, or medically inoperable tumors; AND
- Used as first-line therapy in combination with pemetrexed and cisplatin or carboplatin as initial therapy, followed by single-agent maintenance bevacizumab



*peritoneal, pericardial, and tunica vaginalis testis mesothelioma will be evaluated on a case-bycase basis

Small Bowel Adenocarcinoma/Advanced Ampullary Cancer ‡ 4,16,158e

- Patient has advanced or metastatic disease; AND
- Used in combination with CapeOX (capecitabine plus oxaliplatin); AND
- Used as initial therapy

Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC) + ‡ Φ 1-4,14,15,164e

- Used as first-line therapy in combination with atezolizumab; AND
- Patient has Child-Pugh Class A disease; AND
- Patient has unresectable or inoperable (*e.g., performance status, comorbidity or with minimal or uncertain extrahepatic-disease*) disease, extensive liver tumor burden,, or metastatic disease

Preferred therapies and recommendations are determined by review of clinical evidence. NCCN category of recommendation is taken into account as a component of this review. Regimens deemed equally efficacious (i.e., those having the same NCCN categorization) are considered to be therapeutically equivalent.

† FDA-labeled indication(s); **‡** Compendia recommended indication(s); **Φ** Orphan Drug

Genor	nic Aberration/Mutational Driver Targeted Therapies (Note:
	inclusive, refer to guidelines for appropriate use) §
	ing EGFR mutation-positive tumors
_	Afatinib
_	Erlotinib
_	Dacomitinib
_	Gefitinib
_	Osimertinib
ALK rea	rrangement-positive tumors
_	Alectinib
_	Brigatinib
-	Ceritinib
-	Crizotinib
_	Lorlatinib
ROS1 re	earrangement-positive tumors
-	Ceritinib
-	Crizotinib
—	Entrectinib
BRAF V	600E-mutation positive tumors
-	Dabrafenib ± Trametinib
	Vemurafenib
NTRK G	ene Fusion positive tumors
-	Larotrectinib



_	Entrectinib					
PD-1/P	PD-1/PD-L1 expression-positive tumors (≥1%)					
_	Pembrolizumab					
-	Atezolizumab					
_	Nivolumab ± ipilimumab					
MET Ex	on-14 skipping mutations					
-	Capmatinib					
-	Crizotinib					
_	Tepotinib					
RET rea	rrangement-positive tumors					
-	Selpercatinib					
-	Cabozantinib					
-	Vandetanib					
-	Pralsetinib					

IV. Renewal Criteria¹⁻⁴

Coverage can be renewed based upon the following criteria:

- Patient continues to meet universal and other indication-specific relevant criteria such as concomitant therapy requirements (not including prerequisite therapy), performance status, etc. identified in section III; AND
- Disease response with treatment as defined by stabilization of disease or decrease in size of tumor or tumor spread; **AND**
- Absence of unacceptable toxicity from the drug. Examples of unacceptable toxicity include: gastrointestinal perforations and fistulae, surgical/wound healing complications, hemorrhage, arterial and venous thromboembolic events (ATE & VTE), uncontrolled hypertension, posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome (PRES), nephrotic syndrome, proteinuria, severe infusion reactions, ovarian failure, congestive heart failure (CHF), etc.; AND

CNS Cancers – symptom management (short-course therapy):

• May NOT be renewed

Colorectal Cancer (after first-line bevacizumab-containing regimen):

• Refer to Section III for criteria

Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma (maintenance therapy):

• Refer to Section III for criteria

Non-Squamous Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (continuation therapy in combination with erlotinib):

• Refer to Section III for criteria



V. Dosage/Administration ^{1-3,5,6,16,33-41}

Indication	Dose
CRC	Administer 5 to 10 mg/kg intravenously every 2 weeks <u>OR</u> 7.5 mg/kg intravenously every 3 weeks until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity.
Small Bowel Adenocarcinoma/ Ampullary Cancer	Administer 5 mg/kg intravenously every 2 weeks <u>OR</u> 7.5 mg/kg intravenously every 3 weeks until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity.
NSCLC & Cervical Cancer	Administer 15 mg/kg intravenously every 3 weeks until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity.
CNS Cancers	 For disease treatment: Administer 10 mg/kg intravenously every 2 weeks until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity. For symptom management: Administer 5 to 10 mg/kg intravenously every 2 weeks up to 12 weeks duration.
RCC	Administer 10 mg/kg intravenously every 2 weeks until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity.
МРМ	Administer 15 mg/kg intravenously every 3 weeks in combination with chemotherapy for up to 6 cycles. May follow with maintenance therapy with single-agent bevacizumab 15 mg/kg intravenously every 3 weeks, until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity.
Ovarian Cancer	Administer 5 to 10 mg/kg intravenously every 2 weeks <u>OR</u> 7.5 to 15 mg/kg intravenously every 3 weeks until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity.
нсс	Administer 15 mg/kg intravenously every 3 weeks until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity.
All Other Oncology Indications	Administer 5 to 10 mg/kg intravenously every 2 weeks <u>OR</u> 7.5 to 15 mg/kg intravenously every 3 weeks until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity.

VI. Billing Code/Availability Information

HCPCS Code:

- J9035 Injection, bevacizumab, 10 mg; 1 billable unit = 10 mg
- Q5107 Injection, bevacizumab-awwb, biosimilar, (mvasi), 10 mg: 1 billable unit = 10 mg
- Q5118 Injection, bevacizumab-bvzr, biosimilar, (zirabev), 10 mg; 1 billable unit = 10 mg NDC(s):
- Avastin single-use vial, 100 mg/4 mL solution for injection: 50242-0060-xx
- Avastin single-use vial, 400 mg/16 mL solution for injection: 50242-0061-xx
- Mvasi single-use vial, 100 mg/4 mL solution for injection: 55513-0206-xx
- Mvasi single-use vial, 400 mg/16 mL solution for injection: 55513-0207-xx
- Zirabev single-use vial, 100 mg/4 mL solution for injection: 00069-0315-xx
- Zirabev single-use vial, 400 mg/16 mL solution for injection: 00069-0342-xx



VII. References (STANDARD)

- 1. Avastin [package insert]. South San Francisco, CA; Genentech; January 2021. Accessed June 2021.
- 2. Mvasi [package insert]. Thousand Oaks, CA; Amgen, Inc.; April 2021. Accessed March 2021.
- 3. Zirabev [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer, Inc.; M 2021. Accessed June 2021.
- 4. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium (NCCN Compendium[®]) bevacizumab. National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 2021. The NCCN Compendium[®] is a derivative work of the NCCN Guidelines[®]. NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK[®], NCCN[®], and NCCN GUIDELINES[®] are trademarks owned by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. To view the most recent and complete version of the Compendium, go online to NCCN.org. Accessed June 2021.
- Ceresoli GL, Zucali PA, Mencoboni M, et al. Phase II study of pemetrexed and carboplatin plus bevacizumab as first-line therapy in malignant pleural mesothelioma. Br J Cancer. 2013 Aug 6; 109(3): 552–558
- Delishaj D, Ursino S, Pasqualetti F, et al. Bevacizumab for the Treatment of Radiation-Induced Cerebral Necrosis: A Systematic Review of the Literature. J Clin Med Res. 2017 Apr; 9(4): 273– 280.
- Fahrenbruch R, Kintzel P, Bott AM, et al. Dose Rounding of Biologic and Cytotoxic Anticancer Agents: A Position Statement of the Hematology/Oncology Pharmacy Association. J Oncol Pract. 2018 Mar;14(3):e130-e136.
- Hematology/Oncology Pharmacy Association (2019). Intravenous Cancer Drug Waste Issue Brief. Retrieved from http://www.hoparx.org/images/hopa/advocacy/Issue-Briefs/Drug_Waste_2019.pdf
- Bach PB, Conti RM, Muller RJ, et al. Overspending driven by oversized single dose vials of cancer drugs. BMJ. 2016 Feb 29;352:i788.
- 10. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines[®]) Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer, Version 4.2021. National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 2021. NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK[®], NCCN[®], and NCCN GUIDELINES[®] are trademarks owned by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. To view the most recent and complete version of the NCCN Guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. Accessed June 2021.
- 11. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines[®]) for Ovarian Cancer Including Fallopian Tube Cancer and Primary Peritoneal Cancer 1.2021. National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 2021. NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK[®], NCCN[®], and NCCN GUIDELINES[®] are trademarks owned by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. To view the most recent and complete version of the Guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. Accessed June 2021.
- Thatcher N, Goldschmidt JH, Thomas M, et al. Efficacy and safety of biosimilar ABP 215 compared with bevacizumab in patients with advanced nonsquamous non-small cell lung cancer (MAPLE): a randomized, double-blind, phase III study. Clin Cancer Res. 2019;25:2088-2095.



- Reinmuth N, Bryl M, Bondarenko I, et al. PF-06439535 (a Bevacizumab Biosimilar) Compared with Reference Bevacizumab (Avastin[®]), Both Plus Paclitaxel and Carboplatin, as First-Line Treatment for Advanced Non-Squamous Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer: A Randomized, Double-Blind Study. BioDrugs. 2019 Oct;33(5):555-570. doi: 10.1007/s40259-019-00363-4.
- 14. Cheng AL, Qin S, Ikeda M, et al. LBA3-IMBrave150: Efficacy and safety results from a ph III study evaluating atezolizumab (atezo) + bevacizumab (bev) vs sorafenib (Sor) as first treatment (tx) for patients (pts) with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Ann Oncol. 2019 Nov;30 Suppl 9:ix186-ix187.
- 15. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines[®]) for Hepatobiliary Cancers 2.2021. National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 2021. NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK[®], NCCN[®], and NCCN GUIDELINES[®] are trademarks owned by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. To view the most recent and complete version of the Guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. Accessed June 2021.
- 16. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines[®]) Small Bowel Adenocarcinoma, Version 1.2021. National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 2021. NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK[®], NCCN[®], and NCCN GUIDELINES[®] are trademarks owned by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. To view the most recent and complete version of the NCCN Guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. Accessed June 2021.
- 17. Hurwitz H, Fehrenbacher L, Novotny W, et al. Bevacizumab plus irinotecan, fluorouracil, and leucovorin for metastatic colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2004 Jun 3;350(23):2335-42.
- Giantonio BJ, Catalano PJ, Meropol NJ, et al. Bevacizumab in combination with oxaliplatin, fluorouracil, and leucovorin (FOLFOX4) for previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer: results from the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Study E3200. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25(12):1539-1544.
- Chen HX, Mooney M, Boron M, et al. Phase II multicenter trial of bevacizumab plus fluorouracil and leucovorin in patients with advanced refractory colorectal cancer: an NCI Treatment Referral Center Trial TRC-0301. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24(21):3354-3360. doi:10.1200/JCO.2005.05.1573.
- Bennouna J, Sastre J, Arnold D, et al. Continuation of bevacizumab after first progression in metastatic colorectal cancer (ML18147): a randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2013 Jan;14(1):29-37.
- de Gramont A, Van Cutsem E, Schmoll HJ, et al. Bevacizumab plus oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy as adjuvant treatment for colon cancer (AVANT): a phase 3 randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncol. 2012;13(12):1225-1233. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(12)70509-0.
- 22. Allegra CJ, Yothers G, O'Connell MJ, et al. Phase III trial assessing bevacizumab in stages II and III carcinoma of the colon: results of NSABP protocol C-08. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29(1):11-16. doi:10.1200/JCO.2010.30.0855.
- 23. Sandler A, Gray R, Perry MC, et al. Paclitaxel-carboplatin alone or with bevacizumab for nonsmall-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med. 2006 Dec 14;355(24):2542-50.



- 24. Reck M, von Pawel J, Zatloukal P, et al. Phase III trial of cisplatin plus gemcitabine with either placebo or bevacizumab as first-line therapy for nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer: AVAil. J Clin Oncol. 2009 Mar 10;27(8):1227-34.
- 25. Wick W, Gorlia T, Bendszus M, et al. Lomustine and Bevacizumab in Progressive Glioblastoma. N Engl J Med 2017; 377:1954-1963.
- 26. Friedman HS, Prados MD, Wen PY, et al. Bevacizumab alone and in combination with irinotecan in recurrent glioblastoma. J Clin Oncol. 2009 Oct 1;27(28):4733-40.
- Escudier B, Pluzanska A, Koralewski P, et al. Bevacizumab plus interferon alfa-2a for treatment of metastatic renal cell carcinoma: a randomised, double-blind phase III trial. Lancet. 2007;370(9605):2103-2111. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61904-7.
- Tewari KS, Sill MW, Penson RT, et al. Bevacizumab for advanced cervical cancer: final overall survival and adverse event analysis of a randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial (Gynecologic Oncology Group 240). Lancet. 2017;390(10103):1654-1663. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31607-0.
- 29. Burger RA, Brady MF, Bookman MA, et al. Incorporation of bevacizumab in the primary treatment of ovarian cancer. N Engl J Med. 2011 Dec 29;365(26):2473-83.
- Pujade-Lauraine E, Hilpert F, Weber B, et al. Bevacizumab Combined With Chemotherapy for Platinum-Resistant Recurrent Ovarian Cancer: The AURELIA Open-Label Randomized Phase III Trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2014 32:13, 1302-1308.
- Aghajanian C, Blank SV, Goff BA, et al. OCEANS: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III trial of chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab in patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent epithelial ovarian, primary peritoneal, or fallopian tube cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30(17):2039–2045.
- 32. Coleman RL, Brady MF, Herzog TJ, et al. Bevacizumab and paclitaxel-carboplatin chemotherapy and secondary cytoreduction in recurrent, platinum-sensitive ovarian cancer (NRG Oncology/Gynecologic Oncology Group study GOG-0213): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2017;18(6):779–791.
- 33. Robert NJ, Diéras V, Glaspy J, et al. RIBBON-1: randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III trial of chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab for first-line treatment of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative, locally recurrent or metastatic breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2011 Apr 1;29(10):1252-60.
- Agulnik M, Yarber JL, Okuno SH, et al. An open-label, multicenter, phase II study of bevacizumab for the treatment of angiosarcoma and epithelioid hemangioendotheliomas. Ann Oncol. 2013;24(1):257-263. doi:10.1093/annonc/mds237.
- Lorusso D, Ferrandina G, Colombo N, et al. Randomized phase II trial of carboplatin-paclitaxel (CP) compared to carboplatin-paclitaxel-bevacizumab (CP-B) in advanced (stage III-IV) or recurrent endometrial cancer: The MITO END-2 trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2015 33:15_suppl, 5502-5502.
- 36. Miller K, Wang M, Gralow Jet al. Paclitaxel plus bevacizumab versus paclitaxel alone for metastatic breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2007 Dec 27;357(26):2666-76.
- 37. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines[®]) for Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma 2.2021. National Comprehensive Cancer



Network, 2021. NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK[®], NCCN[®], and NCCN GUIDELINES[®] are trademarks owned by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. To view the most recent and complete version of the Guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. Accessed June 2021.

- Zalcman G, Mazieres J, Margery J, et al; French Cooperative Thoracic Intergroup (IFCT). Bevacizumab for newly diagnosed pleural mesothelioma in the Mesothelioma Avastin Cisplatin Pemetrexed Study (MAPS): a randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2016 Apr 2;387(10026):1405-1414.
- 39. Park MS, Patel SR, Ludwig JA, et al. Activity of temozolomide and bevacizumab in the treatment of locally advanced, recurrent, and metastatic hemangiopericytoma and malignant solitary fibrous tumor. Cancer. 2011 Nov 1;117(21):4939-47. doi: 10.1002/cncr.26098.
- Rose PG, Ali S, Moslemi-Kebria M, et al. Paclitaxel, Carboplatin, and Bevacizumab in Advanced and Recurrent Endometrial Carcinoma. Int J Gynecol Cancer. 2017 Mar;27(3):452-458. doi: 10.1097/IGC.000000000000891.
- Aghajanian C, Sill MW, Darcy KM, et al. Phase II trial of bevacizumab in recurrent or persistent endometrial cancer: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. J Clin Oncol. 2011 Jun 1;29(16):2259-65. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2010.32.6397.
- National Government Services, Inc. Local Coverage Article for Billing and Coding: Bevacizumab and biosimilars (A52370). Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Inc. Updated on 04/23/2021 with effective date 05/01/2021. Accessed June 2021.

VIII. References (ENHANCED)

- 1e. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines[®]) Colon Cancer, Version 2.2021. National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 2021. NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK[®], NCCN[®], and NCCN GUIDELINES[®] are trademarks owned by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. To view the most recent and complete version of the NCCN Guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. Accessed June 2021.
- 2e. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines[®]) Rectal Cancer, Version 1.2021. National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 2021. NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK[®], NCCN[®], and NCCN GUIDELINES[®] are trademarks owned by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. To view the most recent and complete version of the NCCN Guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. Accessed June 2021.
- 3e. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines[®]) Cervical Cancer, Version 1.2021. National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 2021. NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK[®], NCCN[®], and NCCN GUIDELINES[®] are trademarks owned by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. To view the most recent and complete version of the NCCN Guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. Accessed June 2021.



- 4e. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines[®]) Breast Cancer, Version 4.2021. National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 2021. NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK[®], NCCN[®], and NCCN GUIDELINES[®] are trademarks owned by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. To view the most recent and complete version of the NCCN Guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. Accessed June 2021.
- 5e. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines[®]) Kidney Cancer, Version 4.2021. National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 2021. NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK[®], NCCN[®], and NCCN GUIDELINES[®] are trademarks owned by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. To view the most recent and complete version of the NCCN Guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. Accessed June 2021.
- 6e. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines[®]) Central Nervous System Cancer, Version 5.2020. National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 2021. NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK[®], NCCN[®], and NCCN GUIDELINES[®] are trademarks owned by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. To view the most recent and complete version of the NCCN Guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. Accessed June 2021.
- 7e. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines[®]) Soft Tissue Sarcoma, Version 2.2021. National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 2021. NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK[®], NCCN[®], and NCCN GUIDELINES[®] are trademarks owned by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. To view the most recent and complete version of the NCCN Guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. Accessed June 2021.
- 8e. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines[®]) Uterine Neoplasms, Version 2.2021. National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 2021. NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK[®], NCCN[®], and NCCN GUIDELINES[®] are trademarks owned by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. To view the most recent and complete version of the NCCN Guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. Accessed June 2021.
- 9e. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines[®]) Vulvar Cancer, Version 3.2021. National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 2021. NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK[®], NCCN[®], and NCCN GUIDELINES[®] are trademarks owned by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. To view the most recent and complete version of the NCCN Guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. Accessed June 2021.
- 10e. Hochster HS, Hart LL, Ramanathan RK, et al. Safety and efficacy of oxaliplatin and fluoropyrimidine regimens with or without bevacizumab as first-line treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer: results of the TREE Study. J Clin Oncol. 2008 Jul 20;26(21):3523-9.



- 11e. Saltz LB, Clarke S, Díaz-Rubio E, et al. Bevacizumab in combination with oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy as first-line therapy in metastatic colorectal cancer: a randomized phase III study. J Clin Oncol. 2008 Apr 20;26(12):2013-9.
- 12e. Loupakis F, Cremolini C, Masi G, et al. Initial therapy with FOLFOXIRI and bevacizumab for metastatic colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2014 Oct 23;371(17):1609-18.
- 13e. Cremolini C, Loupakis F, Antoniotti C, et al. FOLFOXIRI plus bevacizumab versus FOLFIRI plus bevacizumab as first-line treatment of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: updated overall survival and molecular subgroup analyses of the open-label, phase 3 TRIBE study. Lancet Oncol. 2015 Oct;16(13):1306-15.
- 14e. Cunningham D, Lang I, Marcuello E, et al. Bevacizumab plus capecitabine versus capecitabine alone in elderly patients with previously untreated metastatic colorectal cancer (AVEX): an open-label, randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2013 Oct;14(11):1077-1085.
- 15e. Kabbinavar FF, Schulz J, McCleod M, et al. Addition of bevacizumab to bolus fluorouracil and leucovorin in first-line metastatic colorectal cancer: results of a randomized phase II trial. J Clin Oncol. 2005 Jun 1;23(16):3697-705.
- 16e. Van Cutsem E, Köhne CH, Hitre E, et al. Cetuximab and Chemotherapy as Initial Treatment for Metastatic Colorectal Cancer. N Engl J Med 2009; 360:1408-1417.
- 17e. Van Cutsem E, Köhne CH, Láng I, et al. Cetuximab plus irinotecan, fluorouracil, and leucovorin as first-line treatment for metastatic colorectal cancer: updated analysis of overall survival according to tumor KRAS and BRAF mutation status. J Clin Oncol. 2011 May 20;29(15):2011-9.
- 18e. Qin S, Li J, Wang L, et al. Efficacy and Tolerability of First-Line Cetuximab Plus Leucovorin, Fluorouracil, and Oxaliplatin (FOLFOX-4) Versus FOLFOX-4 in Patients With RAS Wild-Type Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: The Open-Label, Randomized, Phase III TAILOR Trial [published online ahead of print, 2018 Sep 10]. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(30):JCO2018783183. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.78.3183
- 19e. Douillard JY, Oliner KS, Siena S, et al. Panitumumab-FOLFOX4 treatment and RAS mutations in colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2013 Sep 12;369(11):1023-34.
- 20e. Douillard JY, Siena S, Cassidy J, et al. Final results from PRIME: randomized phase III study of panitumumab with FOLFOX4 for first-line treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer. Ann Oncol. 2014 Jul;25(7):1346-55.
- 21e. Köhne CH, Hofheinz R, Mineur L, et al. First-line panitumumab plus irinotecan/5fluorouracil/leucovorin treatment in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2012 Jan;138(1):65-72.
- 22e. Heinemann V, von Weikersthal LF, Decker T, et al. FOLFIRI plus cetuximab versus FOLFIRI plus bevacizumab as first-line treatment for patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (FIRE-3): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2014 Sep;15(10):1065-75.
- 23e. Heinemann V, Modest DP, von Weikersthal LF, et al. Gender and tumor location as predictors for efficacy: Influence on endpoints in first-line treatment with FOLFIRI in combination with cetuximab or bevacizumab in the AIO KRK 0306 (FIRE3) trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2014 32:15_suppl, 3600-3600.



- 24e. Venook AP, Niedzwiecki D, Lenz HJ, et al. Effect of First-Line Chemotherapy Combined With Cetuximab or Bevacizumab on Overall Survival in Patients With KRAS Wild-Type Advanced or Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2017;317(23):2392–2401. doi:10.1001/jama.2017.7105.
- 25e. Venook AP, Niedzwiecki D, Innocenti F, et al. Impact of primary (1º) tumor location on overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) in patients (pts) with metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC): Analysis of CALGB/SWOG 80405 (Alliance). J Clin Oncol 34, 2016 (suppl; abstr 3504).
- 26e. Schwartzberg LS, Rivera F, Karthaus M, et al. PEAK: a randomized, multicenter phase II study of panitumumab plus modified fluorouracil, leucovorin, and oxaliplatin (mFOLFOX6) or bevacizumab plus mFOLFOX6 in patients with previously untreated, unresectable, wild-type KRAS exon 2 metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2014 Jul 20;32(21):2240-7.
- 27e. Holch JW, Ricard I, Stintzing S, et al. The relevance of primary tumour location in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: A meta-analysis of first-line clinical trials. Eur J Cancer. 2017 Jan;70:87-98.
- 28e. Hecht JR, Cohn A, Dakhil S, et al. SPIRITT: A Randomized, Multicenter, Phase II Study of Panitumumab with FOLFIRI and Bevacizumab with FOLFIRI as Second-Line Treatment in Patients with Unresectable Wild Type KRAS Metastatic Colorectal Cancer. Clin Colorectal Cancer. 2015 Jun;14(2):72-80.
- 29e. Van Cutsem E, Peeters M, Siena S, et al. Open-label phase III trial of panitumumab plus best supportive care compared with best supportive care alone in patients with chemotherapy-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2007 May 1;25(13):1658-64.
- 30e. Amado RG, Wolf M, Peeters M, et al. Wild-type KRAS is required for panitumumab efficacy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2008 Apr 1;26(10):1626-34.
- 31e. Kim TW, Elme A, Kusic Z, et al. A phase 3 trial evaluating panitumumab plus best supportive care vs best supportive care in chemorefractory wild-type KRAS or RAS metastatic colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer. 2016;115(10):1206–1214. doi:10.1038/bjc.2016.309
- 32e. Peeters M, Price TJ, Cervantes A, et al. Randomized phase III study of panitumumab with fluorouracil, leucovorin, and irinotecan (FOLFIRI) compared with FOLFIRI alone as second-line treatment in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2010 Nov 1;28(31):4706-13.
- 33e. Sobrero AF, Maurel J, Fehrenbacher L, et al. EPIC: phase III trial of cetuximab plus irinotecan after fluoropyrimidine and oxaliplatin failure in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2008 May 10;26(14):2311-9.
- 34e. Price TJ, Peeters M, Kim TW, et al. Panitumumab versus cetuximab in patients with chemotherapy-refractory wild-type KRAS exon 2 metastatic colorectal cancer (ASPECCT): a randomised, multicentre, open-label, non-inferiority phase 3 study. Lancet Oncol. 2014 May;15(6):569-79.
- 35e. Van Cutsem E, Tabernero J, Lakomy R, et al. Addition of aflibercept to fluorouracil, leucovorin, and irinotecan improves survival in a phase III randomized trial in patients with metastatic

EOCCO.com



colorectal cancer previously treated with an oxaliplatin-based regimen. J Clin Oncol. 2012 Oct 1;30(28):3499-506.

- 36e. Tabernero J, Van Cutsem E, Lakomý R, et al. Aflibercept versus placebo in combination with fluorouracil, leucovorin and irinotecan in the treatment of previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer: prespecified subgroup analyses from the VELOUR trial. Eur J Cancer. 2014 Jan;50(2):320-31.
- 37e. Tabernero J, Yoshino T, Cohn AL, et al. Ramucirumab versus placebo in combination with second-line FOLFIRI in patients with metastatic colorectal carcinoma that progressed during or after first-line therapy with bevacizumab, oxaliplatin, and a fluoropyrimidine (RAISE): a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, phase 3 study. Lancet Oncol. 2015 May;16(5):499-508.
- 38e. Le DT, Uram JN, Wang H, et al. PD-1 Blockade in Tumors with Mismatch-Repair Deficiency. N Engl J Med. 2015;372(26):2509–2520. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1500596.
- 39e. Overman MJ, McDermott R, Leach JL, et al. Nivolumab in patients with metastatic DNA mismatch repair-deficient or microsatellite instability-high colorectal cancer (CheckMate 142): an open-label, multicentre, phase 2 study [published correction appears in Lancet Oncol. 2017 Sep;18(9):e510]. Lancet Oncol. 2017;18(9):1182–1191. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(17)30422-9.
- 40e. Hiret S, Borg C, Bertaut A, et al. Bevacizumab or cetuximab plus chemotherapy after progression with bevacizumab plus chemotherapy in patients with wtKRAS metastatic colorectal cancer: A randomized phase II study (Prodige 18 –UNICANCER GI). Journal of Clinical Oncology 2016 34:15_suppl, 3514-3514.
- 41e. Reck M, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Robinson AG, et al. Pembrolizumab versus Chemotherapy for PD-L1-Positive Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. N Engl J Med. 2016 Nov 10;375(19):1823-1833.
- 42e. Socinski MA, Jotte RM, Cappuzzo F, et al. Atezolizumab for First-Line Treatment of Metastatic Nonsquamous NSCLC. N Engl J Med. 2018 Jun 14;378(24):2288-2301.
- 43e. Gandhi L, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Gadgeel S, et al. Pembrolizumab plus Chemotherapy in Metastatic Non–Small-Cell Lung Cancer. N Engl J Med 2018; 378:2078-2092.
- 44e. Fossella F, Pereira JR, von Pawel J, et al. Randomized, multinational, phase III study of docetaxel plus platinum combinations versus vinorelbine plus cisplatin for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: the TAX 326 study group. J Clin Oncol. 2003 Aug 15;21(16):3016-24.
- 45e. Ohe Y, Ohashi Y, Kubota K, et al. Randomized phase III study of cisplatin plus irinotecan versus carboplatin plus paclitaxel, cisplatin plus gemcitabine, and cisplatin plus vinorelbine for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: Four-Arm Cooperative Study in Japan. Ann Oncol. 2007 Feb;18(2):317-23
- 46e. Cardenal F, López-Cabrerizo MP, Antón A, et al. Randomized phase III study of gemcitabinecisplatin versus etoposide-cisplatin in the treatment of locally advanced or metastatic non-smallcell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol. 1999 Jan;17(1):12-8.
- 47e. Patel JD, Socinski MA, Garon EB, et al. PointBreak: a randomized phase III study of pemetrexed plus carboplatin and bevacizumab followed by maintenance pemetrexed and bevacizumab versus paclitaxel plus carboplatin and bevacizumab followed by maintenance bevacizumab in



patients with stage IIIB or IV nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31(34):4349–4357. doi:10.1200/JCO.2012.47.9626

- 48e. Barlesi F, Scherpereel A, Rittmeyer A, et al. Randomized phase III trial of maintenance bevacizumab with or without pemetrexed after first-line induction with bevacizumab, cisplatin, and pemetrexed in advanced nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer: AVAPERL (MO22089). J Clin Oncol. 2013 Aug 20;31(24):3004-11.
- 49e. Gridelli C, de Castro Carpeno J, Dingemans AC, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Bevacizumab Plus Standard-of-Care Treatment Beyond Disease Progression in Patients With Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: The AvaALL Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Oncol. 2018 Dec 1;4(12):e183486.
- 50e. Borghaei H, Paz-Ares L, Horn L, et al. Nivolumab versus Docetaxel in Advanced Nonsquamous Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. N Engl J Med. 2015;373(17):1627–1639. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1507643.
- 51e. Herbst RS, Baas P, Kim DW, et al. Pembrolizumab versus docetaxel for previously treated, PD-L1-positive, advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-010): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2016 Apr 9;387(10027):1540-50.
- 52e. Barlesi F, Park K, Ciardiello F, et al. Primary analysis from OAK, a randomized phase III study comparing atezolizumab with docetaxel in 2L/3L NSCLC. Annals of Oncology, Volume 27, Issue suppl_6, 1 October 2016, LBA44_PR.
- 53e. Garon EB, Ciuleanu TE, Arrieta O, et al. Ramucirumab plus docetaxel versus placebo plus docetaxel for second-line treatment of stage IV non-small-cell lung cancer after disease progression on platinum-based therapy (REVEL): a multicentre, double-blind, randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2014 Aug 23;384(9944):665-73
- 54e. Moore DH, Blessing JA, McQuellon RP, et al. Phase III study of cisplatin with or without paclitaxel in stage IVB, recurrent, or persistent squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix: a gynecologic oncology group study. J Clin Oncol. 2004 Aug 1;22(15):3113-9.
- 55e. Kitagawa R, Katsumata N, Shibata T, et al. Paclitaxel Plus Carboplatin Versus Paclitaxel Plus Cisplatin in Metastatic or Recurrent Cervical Cancer: The Open-Label Randomized Phase III Trial JCOG0505. J Clin Oncol. 2015 Jul 1;33(19):2129-35.
- 56e. Monk BJ, Sill MW, Burger RA, Gray HJ, Buekers TE, Roman LD. Phase II trial of bevacizumab in the treatment of persistent or recurrent squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix: a gynecologic oncology group study. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27(7):1069–1074. doi:10.1200/JCO.2008.18.9043.
- 57e. Chung HC, Schellens JHM, Delord JP, et al. Pembrolizumab treatment of advanced cervical cancer: Updated results from the phase 2 KEYNOTE-158 study. J Clin Oncol 36, 2018 (suppl; abstr 5522).
- 58e. Miller K, Wang M, Gralow J, et al. Paclitaxel plus Bevacizumab versus Paclitaxel Alone for Metastatic Breast Cancer. N Engl J Med 2007; 357:2666-2676.
- 59e. Miles DW, Chan A, Dirix LY, et al. Phase III study of bevacizumab plus docetaxel compared with placebo plus docetaxel for the first-line treatment of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative metastatic breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2010 Jul 10;28(20):3239-47.



- 60e. Nabholtz JM, Falkson C, Campos D, et al. Docetaxel and doxorubicin compared with doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide as first-line chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer: results of a randomized, multicenter, phase III trial. J Clin Oncol. 2003 Mar 15;21(6):968-75.
- 61e. Langley RE, Carmichael J, Jones AL, et al. Phase III trial of epirubicin plus paclitaxel compared with epirubicin plus cyclophosphamide as first-line chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer: United Kingdom National Cancer Research Institute trial AB01. J Clin Oncol. 2005 Nov 20;23(33):8322-30.
- 62e. Mavroudis D, Papakotoulas P, Ardavanis A, et al. Randomized phase III trial comparing docetaxel plus epirubicin versus docetaxel plus capecitabine as first-line treatment in women with advanced breast cancer. Ann Oncol. 2010 Jan;21(1):48-54.
- 63e. Albain KS, Nag SM, Calderillo-Ruiz G, et al. Gemcitabine plus Paclitaxel versus Paclitaxel monotherapy in patients with metastatic breast cancer and prior anthracycline treatment. J Clin Oncol. 2008 Aug 20;26(24):3950-7.
- 64e. Rugo HS, Barry WT, Moreno-Aspitia A, et al. Randomized Phase III Trial of Paclitaxel Once Per Week Compared With Nanoparticle Albumin-Bound Nab-Paclitaxel Once Per Week or Ixabepilone With Bevacizumab As First-Line Chemotherapy for Locally Recurrent or Metastatic Breast Cancer: CALGB 40502/NCCTG N063H (Alliance). J Clin Oncol. 2015;33(21):2361–2369. Lancet. 2007 Dec 22;370(9605):2103-11.
- 65e. Rini BI, Halabi S, Rosenberg JE, et al. Phase III trial of bevacizumab plus interferon alfa versus interferon alfa monotherapy in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma: final results of CALGB 90206. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(13):2137–2143.
- 66e. Sternberg CN, Davis ID, Mardiak J, et al. Pazopanib in locally advanced or metastatic renal cell carcinoma: results of a randomized phase III trial. J Clin Oncol. 2010 Feb 20;28(6):1061-8.
- 67e. Sternberg CN, Hawkins RE, Wagstaff J, et al. A randomised, double-blind phase III study of pazopanib in patients with advanced and/or metastatic renal cell carcinoma: final overall survival results and safety update. Eur J Cancer. 2013 Apr;49(6):1287-96.
- 68e. Motzer RJ, Hutson TE, Tomczak P, et al. Sunitinib versus interferon alfa in metastatic renal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2007 Jan 11;356(2):115-24.
- 69e. Motzer RJ, Tannir NM, McDermott DF, et al. Nivolumab plus Ipilimumab versus Sunitinib in Advanced Renal-Cell Carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2018;378(14):1277–1290.
- 70e. Hammers HJ, Plimack ER1, Infante JR, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Nivolumab in Combination With Ipilimumab in Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma: The CheckMate 016 Study. J Clin Oncol. 2017 Dec 1;35(34):3851-3858.
- 71e. Hudes G, Carducci M, Tomczak P, et al. Temsirolimus, interferon alfa, or both for advanced renal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2007 May 31;356(22):2271-81.
- 72e. Choueiri TK, Halabi S, Sanford BL, et al. Cabozantinib Versus Sunitinib As Initial Targeted Therapy for Patients With Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma of Poor or Intermediate Risk: The Alliance A031203 CABOSUN Trial. J Clin Oncol. 2016;35(6):591–597.
- 73e. Irshad T, Olencki T, Zynger DL, et al. Bevacizumab in metastatic papillary renal cell carcinoma (PRCC). Journal of Clinical Oncology 2011 29:15_suppl, e15158-e15158.

EOCCO.com



- 74e. Voss MH, Molina AM, Chen YB, et al. Phase II Trial and Correlative Genomic Analysis of Everolimus Plus Bevacizumab in Advanced Non-Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. J Clin Oncol. 2016;34(32):3846–3853.
- 75e. Lee JL, Ahn JH, Lim HY, et al. Multicenter phase II study of sunitinib in patients with non-clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Ann Oncol. 2012 Aug;23(8):2108-14.
- 76e. Armstrong AJ, Halabi S, Eisen T, et al. Everolimus versus sunitinib for patients with metastatic non-clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ASPEN): a multicentre, open-label, randomised phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2016 Mar;17(3):378-88.
- 77e. Srinivasan, R, Gurram S, Al Harthy M,. et al. Results from a phase II study of bevacizumab and erlotinib in subjects with advanced hereditary leiomyomatosis and renal cell cancer (HLRCC) or sporadic papillary renal cell cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2020;38(15_suppl):5004-5004.
- 78e. Dutcher JP, de Souza P, McDermott D, et al. Effect of temsirolimus versus interferon-alpha on outcome of patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma of different tumor histologies. Med Oncol. 2009;26(2):202-9.
- 79e. Norden AD, Young GS, Setayesh K, et al. Bevacizumab for recurrent malignant gliomas: efficacy, toxicity, and patterns of recurrence. Neurology. 2008 Mar 4;70(10):779-87.
- 80e. Chamberlain MC, Johnston S. Bevacizumab for recurrent alkylator-refractory anaplastic oligodendroglioma. Cancer. 2009 Apr 15;115(8):1734-43
- 81e. Chamberlain MC, Johnston S. Salvage chemotherapy with bevacizumab for recurrent alkylatorrefractory anaplastic astrocytoma. J Neurooncol. 2009 Feb;91(3):359-67.
- 82e. Taillibert S, Vincent LA, Granger B, et al. Bevacizumab and irinotecan for recurrent oligodendroglial tumors. Neurology. 2009 May 5;72(18):1601-6.
- 83e. Vredenburgh JJ, Desjardins A, Herndon JE 2nd, et al. Phase II trial of bevacizumab and irinotecan in recurrent malignant glioma. Clin Cancer Res. 2007 Feb 15;13(4):1253-9.
- 84e. Soffietti R, Rudà R, Trevisan E, et al. Phase II study of bevacizumab and nitrosourea in patients with recurrent malignant glioma: A multicenter Italian study. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2009 27:155, 2012-2012.
- 85e. Yung WK, Prados MD, Yaya-Tur R, et al. Multicenter phase II trial of temozolomide in patients with anaplastic astrocytoma or anaplastic oligoastrocytoma at first relapse. Temodal Brain Tumor Group. J Clin Oncol. 1999 Sep;17(9):2762-71.
- 86e. Prados M, Rodriguez L, Chamberlain M, et al. Treatment of recurrent gliomas with 1,3-bis(2chloroethyl)-1-nitrosourea and alpha-difluoromethylornithine. Neurosurgery. 1989 Jun;24(6):806-9.
- 87e. Soffietti R, Rudà R, Bradac GB, Schiffer D. PCV chemotherapy for recurrent oligodendrogliomas and oligoastrocytomas. Neurosurgery. 1998 Nov;43(5):1066-73.
- 88e. Taal W, Oosterkamp HM, Walenkamp AM, et al. Single-agent bevacizumab or lomustine versus a combination of bevacizumab plus lomustine in patients with recurrent glioblastoma (BELOB trial): a randomised controlled phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2014 Aug;15(9):943-53.



- 89e. Kreisl TN, Kim L, Moore K, et al. Phase II trial of single-agent bevacizumab followed by bevacizumab plus irinotecan at tumor progression in recurrent glioblastoma. J Clin Oncol. 2008;27(5):740–745.
- 90e. Vredenburgh JJ, Desjardins A, Herndon JE 2nd, et al. Bevacizumab plus irinotecan in recurrent glioblastoma multiforme. J Clin Oncol. 2007 Oct 20;25(30):4722-9.
- 91e. Yung WK, Albright RE, Olson J, et al. A phase II study of temozolomide vs. procarbazine in patients with glioblastoma multiforme at first relapse. Br J Cancer. 2000;83(5):588–593.
- 92e. Weller M, Tabatabai G, Kästner B, et al. MGMT Promoter Methylation Is a Strong Prognostic Biomarker for Benefit from Dose-Intensified Temozolomide Rechallenge in Progressive Glioblastoma: The DIRECTOR Trial. Clin Cancer Res. 2015 May 1;21(9):2057-64
- 93e. Perry JR, Bélanger K, Mason WP, et al. Phase II trial of continuous dose-intense temozolomide in recurrent malignant glioma: RESCUE study. J Clin Oncol. 2010 Apr 20;28(12):2051-7.
- 94e. Brandes AA, Tosoni A, Amistà P, et al. How effective is BCNU in recurrent glioblastoma in the modern era? A phase II trial. Neurology. 2004 Oct 12;63(7):1281-4.
- 95e. Carvalho BF, Fernandes AC, Almeida DS, et al. Second-Line Chemotherapy in Recurrent Glioblastoma: A 2-Cohort Study. Oncol Res Treat. 2015;38(7-8):348-54.
- 96e. Schmidt F, Fischer J, Herrlinger U, et al. PCV chemotherapy for recurrent glioblastoma. Neurology. 2006 Feb 28;66(4):587-9.
- 97e. Green RM, Cloughesy TF, Stupp R, et al. Bevacizumab for recurrent ependymoma. Neurology. 2009;73(20):1677–1680.
- 98e. Brandes AA, Cavallo G, Reni M, et al. A multicenter retrospective study of chemotherapy for recurrent intracranial ependymal tumors in adults by the Gruppo Italiano Cooperativo di Neuro-Oncologia. Cancer. 2005 Jul 1;104(1):143-8.
- 99e. Kaley T, Nolan C, Carver A, Omuro A. Bevacizumab for acute neurologic deterioration in patients with glioblastoma. CNS Oncol. 2013 Sep;2(5):413-8.
- 100e. Levin VA, Bidaut L, Hou P, et al. Randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial of bevacizumab therapy for radiation necrosis of the central nervous system [published correction appears in Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2012 Sep 1;84(1):6. Grewal, Jai [added]]. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2011;79(5):1487–1495.
- 101e. Xu Y, Rong X, Hu W, et al. Bevacizumab Monotherapy Reduces Radiation-induced Brain Necrosis in Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma Patients: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2018 Aug 1;101(5):1087-1095.
- 102e. Burger RA, Sill MW, Monk BJ, et al. Phase II trial of bevacizumab in persistent or recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer or primary peritoneal cancer: a Gynecologic Oncology Group Study. J Clin Oncol. 2007 Nov 20;25(33):5165-71.
- 103e. Gordon AN, Tonda M, Sun S, et al. Long-term survival advantage for women treated with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin compared with topotecan in a phase 3 randomized study of recurrent and refractory epithelial ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol. 2004 Oct;95(1):1-8.



- 104e. Aghajanian C, Goff B, Nycum LR, Wang YV, Husain A, Blank SV. Final overall survival and safety analysis of OCEANS, a phase 3 trial of chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab in patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol. 2015;139(1):10–16.
- 105e. Pujade-Lauraine E, Wagner U, Aavall-Lundqvist E, et al. Pegylated liposomal Doxorubicin and Carboplatin compared with Paclitaxel and Carboplatin for patients with platinum-sensitive ovarian cancer in late relapse. J Clin Oncol. 2010 Jul 10;28(20):3323-9.
- 106e. Rose PG, Blessing JA, Ball HG, et al. A phase II study of docetaxel in paclitaxel-resistant ovarian and peritoneal carcinoma: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. Gynecol Oncol. 2003 Feb;88(2):130-5.
- 107e. Rose PG, Blessing JA, Mayer AR, Homesley HD. Prolonged oral etoposide as second-line therapy for platinum-resistant and platinum-sensitive ovarian carcinoma: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. J Clin Oncol. 1998 Feb;16(2):405-10.
- 108e. Sehouli J, Stengel D, Harter P, et al. Topotecan Weekly Versus Conventional 5-Day Schedule in Patients With Platinum-Resistant Ovarian Cancer: a randomized multicenter phase II trial of the North-Eastern German Society of Gynecological Oncology Ovarian Cancer Study Group. J Clin Oncol. 2011 Jan 10;29(2):242-8.
- 109e. Ferriss JS, Java JJ, Bookman MA, et al. Ascites predicts treatment benefit of bevacizumab in front-line therapy of advanced epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube and peritoneal cancers: an NRG Oncology/GOG study. Gynecol Oncol. 2015;139(1):17–22.
- 110e. Perren TJ, Swart AM, Pfisterer J, et al. A phase 3 trial of bevacizumab in ovarian cancer. N Engl J Med. 2011 Dec 29;365(26):2484-96.
- 111e. Oza AM, Cook AD, Pfisterer J, et al. Standard chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab for women with newly diagnosed ovarian cancer (ICON7): overall survival results of a phase 3 randomised trial. Lancet Oncol. 2015;16(8):928–936.
- 112e. Armstrong DK, Bundy B, Wenzel L, et al. Intraperitoneal cisplatin and paclitaxel in ovarian cancer. N Engl J Med. 2006 Jan 5;354(1):34-43.
- 113e. Ozols RF, Bundy BN, Greer BE, et al. Phase III trial of carboplatin and paclitaxel compared with cisplatin and paclitaxel in patients with optimally resected stage III ovarian cancer: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. J Clin Oncol. 2003 Sep 1;21(17):3194-200.
- 114e. Pignata S, Scambia G, Ferrandina G, et al. Carboplatin plus paclitaxel versus carboplatin plus pegylated liposomal doxorubicin as first-line treatment for patients with ovarian cancer: the MITO-2 randomized phase III trial. J Clin Oncol. 2011 Sep 20;29(27):3628-35.
- 115e. Vasey PA, Jayson GC, Gordon A, et al. Phase III randomized trial of docetaxel-carboplatin versus paclitaxel-carboplatin as first-line chemotherapy for ovarian carcinoma. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2004 Nov 17;96(22):1682-91.
- 116e. Garcia YG, De Juan A, Mendiola C, et al. Phase II randomized trial of neoadjuvant (NA) chemotherapy (CT) with or without bevacizumab (Bev) in advanced epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) (GEICO 1205/NOVA TRIAL). Journal of Clinical Oncology 2017 35:15_suppl, 5508-5508.
- 117e. Vergote I, Tropé CG, Amant F, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy or primary surgery in stage IIIC or IV ovarian cancer. N Engl J Med. 2010 Sep 2;363(10):943-53.

EOCCO.com



- 118e. Onda T, Satoh T, Saito T, et al. Comparison of treatment invasiveness between upfront debulking surgery versus interval debulking surgery following neoadjuvant chemotherapy for stage III/IV ovarian, tubal, and peritoneal cancers in a phase III randomised trial: Japan Clinical Oncology Group Study JCOG0602. Eur J Cancer. 2016 Sep;64:22-31.
- 119e. Tao X, Sood AK, Deavers MT, et al. Anti-angiogenesis therapy with bevacizumab for patients with ovarian granulosa cell tumors. Gynecol Oncol. 2009;114(3):431–436.
- 120e. Brown J, Brady WE, Schink J, et al. Efficacy and safety of bevacizumab in recurrent sex cordstromal ovarian tumors: results of a phase 2 trial of the Gynecologic Oncology Group. Cancer. 2013;120(3):344–351.
- 121e. Fishman A, Kudelka AP, Tresukosol D, et al. Leuprolide acetate for treating refractory or persistent ovarian granulosa cell tumor. J Reprod Med. 1996 Jun;41(6):393-6.
- 122e. Penel N, Bui BN, Bay JO, Cupissol D, et al. Phase II trial of weekly paclitaxel for unresectable angiosarcoma: the ANGIOTAX Study. J Clin Oncol. 2008 Nov 10;26(32):5269-74.
- 123e. van Hoesel QG, Verweij J, Catimel G, et al. Phase II study with Docetaxel (Taxotere[®]) in advanced soft tissue sarcomas of the adult. Ann Oncol. 1994 Jul;5(6):539-42.
- 124e. Maki RG, D'Adamo DR, Keohan ML, et al. Phase II study of sorafenib in patients with metastatic or recurrent sarcomas. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27(19):3133–3140.
- 125e. George S, Merriam P, Maki RG, et al. Multicenter phase II trial of sunitinib in the treatment of nongastrointestinal stromal tumor sarcomas. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27(19):3154–3160.
- 126e. Park MS, Patel SR, Ludwig JA, et al. Activity of temozolomide and bevacizumab in the treatment of locally advanced, recurrent, and metastatic hemangiopericytoma and malignant solitary fibrous tumor. Cancer. 2011;117(21):4939–4947.
- 127e. Stacchiotti S, Negri T, Libertini M, et al. Sunitinib malate in solitary fibrous tumor (SFT). Ann Oncol. 2012 Dec;23(12):3171-9.
- 128e. Valentin T, Fournier C, Penel N, et al. Sorafenib in patients with progressive malignant solitary fibrous tumors: a subgroup analysis from a phase II study of the French Sarcoma Group (GSF/GETO). Invest New Drugs. 2013 Dec;31(6):1626-7
- 129e. Ebata T, Shimoi T, Bun S, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Pazopanib for Recurrent or Metastatic Solitary Fibrous Tumor. Oncology. 2018;94(6):340-344.
- 130e. Rose PG, Ali S, Moslemi-Kebria M, Simpkins F. Paclitaxel, Carboplatin, and Bevacizumab in Advanced and Recurrent Endometrial Carcinoma. Int J Gynecol Cancer. 2017 Mar;27(3):452-458.
- 131e. Miller, D. et al. 1.Late-Breaking Abstract 1: Randomized phase III noninferiority trial of first line chemotherapy for metastatic or recurrent endometrial carcinoma: A Gynecologic Oncology Group study. Gynecologic Oncology, Volume 125, Issue 3, 771.
- 132e. Fleming GF, Brunetto VL, Cella D, et al. Phase III trial of doxorubicin plus cisplatin with or without paclitaxel plus filgrastim in advanced endometrial carcinoma: a Gynecologic Oncology Group Study. J Clin Oncol. 2004 Jun 1;22(11):2159-66.
- 133e. Aghajanian C, Sill MW, Darcy KM, et al. Phase II trial of bevacizumab in recurrent or persistent endometrial cancer: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29(16):2259–2265.



- 134e. Lincoln S, Blessing JA, Lee RB, Rocereto TF. Activity of paclitaxel as second-line chemotherapy in endometrial carcinoma: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. Gynecol Oncol. 2003 Mar;88(3):277-81.
- 135e. Muggia FM, Blessing JA, Sorosky J, Reid GC. Phase II trial of the pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in previously treated metastatic endometrial cancer: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. J Clin Oncol. 2002 May 1;20(9):2360-4.
- 136e. Oza AM, Elit L, Tsao MS, et al. Phase II study of temsirolimus in women with recurrent or metastatic endometrial cancer: a trial of the NCIC Clinical Trials Group. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29(24):3278–3285.
- 137e. Zalcman G, Mazieres J, Margery J, et al. Bevacizumab for newly diagnosed pleural mesothelioma in the Mesothelioma Avastin Cisplatin Pemetrexed Study (MAPS): a randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2016 Apr 2;387(10026):1405-1414.
- 138e. Vogelzang NJ, Rusthoven JJ, Symanowski J, et al. Phase III study of pemetrexed in combination with cisplatin versus cisplatin alone in patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma. J Clin Oncol. 2003 Jul 15;21(14):2636-44.
- 139e. Castagneto B, Botta M, Aitini E, et al. Phase II study of pemetrexed in combination with carboplatin in patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM). Ann Oncol. 2008 Feb;19(2):370-3.
- 140e. Uldrick TS, Wyvill KM, Kumar P, et al. Phase II study of bevacizumab in patients with HIVassociated Kaposi's sarcoma receiving antiretroviral therapy. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30(13):1476– 1483.
- 141e. Fortino S, Santoro M, Luliano E, et al. Treatment of Kaposi's Sarcoma (KS) with nab-paclitaxel. Annals of Oncology, Volume 27, Issue suppl_4, 21 September 2016, Page iv124.
- 142e. Polizzotto MN, Uldrick TS, Wyvill KM, et al. Pomalidomide for Symptomatic Kaposi's Sarcoma in People With and Without HIV Infection: A Phase I/II Study. J Clin Oncol. 2016;34(34):4125–4131.
- 143e. Northfelt DW, Dezube BJ, Thommes JA, et al. Efficacy of pegylated-liposomal doxorubicin in the treatment of AIDS-related Kaposi's sarcoma after failure of standard chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol. 1997 Feb;15(2):653-9.
- 144e. Stebbing J, Wildfire A, Portsmouth S, et al. Paclitaxel for anthracycline-resistant AIDS-related Kaposi's sarcoma: clinical and angiogenic correlations. Ann Oncol. 2003 Nov;14(11):1660-6.
- 145e. Evans SR, Krown SE, Testa MA, et al. Phase II evaluation of low-dose oral etoposide for the treatment of relapsed or progressive AIDS-related Kaposi's sarcoma: an AIDS Clinical Trials Group clinical study. J Clin Oncol. 2002 Aug 1;20(15):3236-41.
- 146e. Busakhala NW, Waako PJ, Strother MR, et al. Randomized Phase IIA Trial of Gemcitabine Compared With Bleomycin Plus Vincristine for Treatment of Kaposi's Sarcoma in Patients on Combination Antiretroviral Therapy in Western Kenya. J Glob Oncol. 2018;4:1–9.
- 147e. Koon HB, Krown SE, Lee JY, et al. Phase II trial of imatinib in AIDS-associated Kaposi's sarcoma: AIDS Malignancy Consortium Protocol 042. J Clin Oncol. 2013;32(5):402–408.



- 148e. Shepherd FA, Beaulieu R, Gelmon K, et al. Prospective randomized trial of two dose levels of interferon alfa with zidovudine for the treatment of Kaposi's sarcoma associated with human immunodeficiency virus infection: a Canadian HIV Clinical Trials Network study. J Clin Oncol. 1998 May;16(5):1736-42.
- 149e. Little RF, Wyvill KM, Pluda JM, et al. Activity of thalidomide in AIDS-related Kaposi's sarcoma. J Clin Oncol. 2000 Jul;18(13):2593-602.
- 150e. Nasti G, Errante D, Talamini R, et al. Vinorelbine is an effective and safe drug for AIDS-related Kaposi's sarcoma: results of a phase II study. J Clin Oncol. 2000 Apr;18(7):1550-7.
- 151e. Franceschi E, Lamberti G, Visani M, et al. Temozolomide rechallenge in recurrent glioblastoma: when is it useful? Future Oncol. 2018 May;14(11):1063-1069.
- 152e. Lou E, Sumrall AL, Turner S, et al. Bevacizumab therapy for adults with recurrent/progressive meningioma: a retrospective series. J Neurooncol. 2012;109(1):63–70.
- 153e. Nayak L, Iwamoto FM, et al. Atypical and anaplastic meningiomas treated with bevacizumab. J Neurooncol. 2012 Aug;109(1):187-93.
- 154e. Pfisterer J, Shannon CM, Baumann K, et al. Bevacizumab and platinum-based combinations for recurrent ovarian cancer: a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2020 May;21(5):699-709. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30142-X.
- 155e. Barber EL, Zsiros E, Lurain JR, Rademaker A, Schink JC, Neubauer NL. The combination of intravenous bevacizumab and metronomic oral cyclophosphamide is an effective regimen for platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer. J Gynecol Oncol. 2013;24(3):258–264.
- 156e. Garcia AA, Hirte H, Fleming G, et al. Phase II clinical trial of bevacizumab and low-dose metronomic oral cyclophosphamide in recurrent ovarian cancer: a trial of the California, Chicago, and Princess Margaret Hospital phase II consortia. J Clin Oncol. 2008 Jan 1;26(1):76-82.
- 157e. Takayoshi K, Kusaba H, Uenomachi M, et al. Suggestion of added value by bevacizumab to chemotherapy in patients with unresectable or recurrent small bowel cancer. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 2017 Aug;80(2):333-342. doi: 10.1007/s00280-017-3371-0.
- 158e. Gulhati P, Raghav K, Shroff RT, et al. Bevacizumab combined with capecitabine and oxaliplatin in patients with advanced adenocarcinoma of the small bowel or ampulla of vater: A singlecenter, open-label, phase 2 study. Cancer. 2017;123(6):1011–1017. doi:10.1002/cncr.30445.
- 159e. Overman MJ, Varadhachary GR, Kopetz S, et al. Phase II study of capecitabine and oxaliplatin for advanced adenocarcinoma of the small bowel and ampulla of Vater. J Clin Oncol. 2009 Jun 1;27(16):2598-603. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2008.19.7145.
- 160e. Xiang XJ, Liu YW, Zhang L, et al. A phase II study of modified FOLFOX as first-line chemotherapy in advanced small bowel adenocarcinoma. Anticancer Drugs. 2012 Jun;23(5):561-6. doi: 10.1097/CAD.0b013e328350dd0d.
- 161e. Horimatsu T, Nakayama N, Moriwaki T, et al. A phase II study of 5-fluorouracil/Lleucovorin/oxaliplatin (mFOLFOX6) in Japanese patients with metastatic or unresectable small bowel adenocarcinoma. Int J Clin Oncol. 2017;22(5):905–912. doi:10.1007/s10147-017-1138-6.



- 162e. Rini BI, Plimack ER, Stus V, et al. Pembrolizumab plus Axitinib versus Sunitinib for Advanced Renal-Cell Carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2019 Mar 21;380(12):1116-1127. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1816714.
- 163e. Motzer RJ, Penkov K, Haanen J, et al. Avelumab plus Axitinib versus Sunitinib for Advanced Renal-Cell Carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2019;380(12):1103–1115. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1816047.
- 164e. Finn RS, Qin S, Ikeda M, et al. Atezolizumab plus Bevacizumab in Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(20):1894-1905. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1915745.
- 165e. Saito H, Fukuhara T, Furuya N, et al. Erlotinib plus bevacizumab versus erlotinib alone in patients with EGFR-positive advanced non-squamous non-small-cell lung cancer (NEJ026): interim analysis of an open-label, randomised, multicentre, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2019 May;20(5):625-635. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30035-X.
- 166e. Mirza MR, Åvall Lundqvist E, Birrer MJ, et al. Niraparib plus bevacizumab versus niraparib alone for platinum-sensitive recurrent ovarian cancer (NSGO-AVANOVA2/ENGOT-ov24): a randomised, phase 2, superiority trial. Lancet Oncol. 2019 Oct;20(10):1409-1419. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30515-7.
- 167e. Spigel D et al. IMpower110: Interim OS Analysis of a Phase III Study of Atezolizumab (atezo) vs Platinum-Based Chemotherapy (chemo) as 1L Treatment (tx) in PD-L1–selected NSCLC [ESMO 2019 Abstract LBA78].
- 168e. Blank CU, Bono P, Larkin JMG, et al. Safety and efficacy of everolimus in patients with nonclear cell renal cell carcinoma refractory to VEGF-targeted therapy: Subgroup analysis of REACT [abstract]. J Clin Oncol 2012; 30 (5_suppl):Abstract 402.
- 169e. Desjardins A, Reardon DA, Coan A, et al. Bevacizumab and daily temozolomide for recurrent glioblastoma. Cancer. 2012 Mar 1;118(5):1302-12. doi: 10.1002/cncr.26381. Epub 2011 Jul 26.
- 170e. Badruddoja MA, Pazzi M, Sanan A, et al. Phase II study of bi-weekly temozolomide plus bevacizumab for adult patients with recurrent glioblastoma. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 2017 Oct;80(4):715-721. doi: 10.1007/s00280-017-3405-7. Epub 2017 Aug 14.
- 171e. Lombardi G, De Salvo GL, Brandes AA, et al. Regorafenib compared with lomustine in patients with relapsed glioblastoma (REGOMA): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, controlled, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2019 Jan;20(1):110-119. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(18)30675-2.
- 172e. Sezer A, Kilickap S, Gümüş M, et al. Cemiplimab monotherapy for first-line treatment of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer with PD-L1 of at least 50%: a multicentre, open-label, global, phase 3, randomised, controlled trial. Lancet. 2021 Feb 13;397(10274):592-604.
- 173e. Pfeiffer P, Yilmaz M, Möller S, et al. TAS-102 with or without bevacizumab in patients with chemorefractory metastatic colorectal cancer: an investigator-initiated, open-label, randomised, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2020 Mar;21(3):412-420. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30827-7.
- 174e. van der Graaf WT, Blay JY, Chawla SP, et al. Pazopanib for metastatic soft-tissue sarcoma (PALETTE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2012 May 19;379(9829):1879-86. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60651-5.



175e. Magellan Health, Magellan Rx Management. Bevacizumab Clinical Literature Review Analysis. Last updated June 2021. Accessed June 2021.

Appendix 1 – Covered Diagnosis Codes

ICD-10	ICD-10 Description						
C17.0	Malignant neoplasm duodenum						
C17.1	Malignant neoplasm jejunum						
C17.2	Malignant neoplasm ileum						
C17.3	Meckel's diverticulum, malignant						
C17.8	Malignant neoplasm of overlapping sites of small intestines						
C17.9	Malignant neoplasm of small intestine, unspecified						
C18.0	Malignant neoplasm of cecum						
C18.1	Malignant neoplasm of appendix						
C18.2	Malignant neoplasm of ascending colon						
C18.3	Malignant neoplasm of hepatic flexure						
C18.4	Malignant neoplasm of transverse colon						
C18.5	Malignant neoplasm of splenic flexure						
C18.6	Malignant neoplasm of descending colon						
C18.7	Malignant neoplasm of sigmoid colon						
C18.8	Malignant neoplasm of overlapping sites of large intestines						
C18.9	Malignant neoplasm of colon, unspecified						
C19	Malignant neoplasm of rectosigmoid junction						
C20	Malignant neoplasm of rectum						
C21.8	Malignant neoplasm of overlapping sites of rectum, anus and anal canal						
C22.0	Liver cell carcinoma						
C22.3	Angiosarcoma of the liver						
C22.8	Malignant neoplasm of liver, primary, unspecified as to type						
C22.9	Malignant neoplasm of liver, not specified as primary or secondary						
C24.1	Malignant neoplasm of ampulla of Vater						
C33	Malignant neoplasm of trachea						
C34.00	Malignant neoplasm of unspecified main bronchus						
C34.01	Malignant neoplasm of right main bronchus						
C34.02	Malignant neoplasm of left main bronchus						
C34.10	Malignant neoplasm of upper lobe, unspecified bronchus or lung						
C34.11	Malignant neoplasm of upper lobe, right bronchus or lung						
C34.12	Malignant neoplasm of upper lobe, left bronchus or lung						



ICD-10	ICD-10 Description						
C34.2	Malignant neoplasm of middle lobe, bronchus or lung						
C34.30	Malignant neoplasm of lower lobe, unspecified bronchus or lung						
C34.31	Malignant neoplasm of lower lobe, right bronchus or lung						
C34.32	Malignant neoplasm of lower lobe, left bronchus or lung						
C34.80	Malignant neoplasm of overlapping sites of unspecified bronchus or lung						
C34.81	Aalignant neoplasm of overlapping sites of right bronchus and lung						
C34.82	Malignant neoplasm of overlapping sites of left bronchus and lung						
C34.90	Malignant neoplasm of unspecified part of unspecified bronchus or lung						
C34.91	Malignant neoplasm of unspecified part of right bronchus or lung						
C34.92	Malignant neoplasm of unspecified part of left bronchus or lung						
C38.4	Malignant neoplasm of pleura						
C45.0	Mesothelioma of pleura						
C45.1	Mesothelioma of peritoneum						
C48.0	Malignant neoplasm of retroperitoneum						
C48.1	Malignant neoplasm of specified parts of peritoneum						
C48.2	Malignant neoplasm of peritoneum, unspecified						
C48.8	Malignant neoplasm of overlapping sites of retroperitoneum and peritoneum						
C49.0	Malignant neoplasm of connective and soft tissue of head, face and neck						
C49.10	Malignant neoplasm of connective and soft tissue of unspecified upper limb, including shoulder						
C49.11	Malignant neoplasm of connective and soft tissue of right upper limb including shoulder						
C49.12	Malignant neoplasm of connective and soft tissue of left upper limb, including shoulder						
C49.20	Malignant neoplasm of connective and soft tissue of unspecified lower limb, including hip						
C49.21	Malignant neoplasm of connective and soft tissue of right lower limb, including hip						
C49.22	Malignant neoplasm of connective and soft tissue of left lower limb, including hip						
C49.3	Malignant neoplasm of connective and soft tissue of thorax						
C49.4	Malignant neoplasm of connective and soft tissue of abdomen						
C49.5	Malignant neoplasm of connective and soft tissue of pelvis						
C49.6	Malignant neoplasm of connective and soft tissue of trunk, unspecified						
C49.8	Malignant neoplasm of overlapping sites of connective and soft tissue						
C49.9	Malignant neoplasm of connective and soft tissue, unspecified						
C53.0	Malignant neoplasm of endocervix						
C53.1	Malignant neoplasm of exocervix						
C53.8	Malignant neoplasm of overlapping sites of cervix uteri						
C53.9	Malignant neoplasm of cervix uteri, unspecified						



ICD-10	ICD-10 Description
C54.0	Malignant neoplasm of isthmus uteri
C54.1	Malignant neoplasm of endometrium
C54.2	Malignant neoplasm of myometrium
C54.3	Malignant neoplasm of fundus uteri
C54.8	Malignant neoplasm of overlapping sites of corpus uteri
C54.9	Malignant neoplasm of corpus uteri, unspecified
C55	Malignant neoplasm of uterus, part unspecified
C56.1	Malignant neoplasm of right ovary
C56.2	Malignant neoplasm of left ovary
C56.9	Malignant neoplasm of unspecified ovary
C57.00	Malignant neoplasm of unspecified fallopian tube
C57.01	Malignant neoplasm of right fallopian tube
C57.02	Malignant neoplasm of left fallopian tube
C57.10	Malignant neoplasm of unspecified broad ligament
C57.11	Malignant neoplasm of right broad ligament
C57.12	Malignant neoplasm of left broad ligament
C57.20	Malignant neoplasm of unspecified round ligament
C57.21	Malignant neoplasm of right round ligament
C57.22	Malignant neoplasm of left round ligament
C57.3	Malignant neoplasm of parametrium
C57.4	Malignant neoplasm of uterine adnexa, unspecified
C57.7	Malignant neoplasm of other specified female genital organs
C57.8	Malignant neoplasm of overlapping sites of female genital organs
C57.9	Malignant neoplasm of female genital organ, unspecified
C64.1	Malignant neoplasm of right kidney, except renal pelvis
C64.2	Malignant neoplasm of left kidney, except renal pelvis
C64.9	Malignant neoplasm of unspecified kidney, except renal pelvis
C65.1	Malignant neoplasm of right renal pelvis
C65.2	Malignant neoplasm of left renal pelvis
C65.9	Malignant neoplasm of unspecified renal pelvis
C70.9	Malignant neoplasm of meninges, unspecified
C71.0	Malignant neoplasm of cerebrum, except lobes and ventricles
C71.1	Malignant neoplasm of frontal lobe
C71.2	Malignant neoplasm of temporal lobe



ICD-10	ICD-10 Description
C71.3	Malignant neoplasm of parietal lobe
C71.4	Malignant neoplasm of occipital lobe
C71.5	Malignant neoplasm of cerebral ventricle
C71.6	Malignant neoplasm of cerebellum
C71.7	Malignant neoplasm of brain stem
C71.8	Malignant neoplasm of overlapping sites of brain
C71.9	Malignant neoplasm of brain, unspecified
C72.0	Malignant neoplasm of spinal cord
C72.9	Malignant neoplasm of central nervous system, unspecified
C78.00	Secondary malignant neoplasm of unspecified lung
C78.01	Secondary malignant neoplasm of right lung
C78.02	Secondary malignant neoplasm of left lung
C78.6	Secondary malignant neoplasm of retroperitoneum and peritoneum
C78.7	Secondary malignant neoplasm of liver and intrahepatic bile duct
C79.31	Secondary malignant neoplasm of brain
C83.30	Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma unspecified site
C83.39	Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma extranodal and solid organ sites
C83.80	Other non-follicular lymphoma unspecified site
C83.89	Other non-follicular lymphoma extranodal and solid organ sites
C85.89	Other specified types of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, extranodal and solid organ sites
D43.0	Neoplasm of uncertain behavior of brain, supratentorial
D43.1	Neoplasm of uncertain behavior of brain, infratentorial
D43.2	Neoplasm of uncertain behavior of brain, unspecified
D43.4	Neoplasm of uncertain behavior of spinal cord
167.89	Other cerebrovascular disease
Z85.038	Personal history of other malignant neoplasm of large intestine
Z85.068	Personal history of other malignant neoplasm of small intestine
Z85.118	Personal history of other malignant neoplasm of bronchus and lung
Z85.831	Personal history of malignant neoplasm of soft tissue
Z85.841	Personal history of malignant neoplasm of brain

Appendix 2 – Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)

Medicare coverage for outpatient (Part B) drugs is outlined in the Medicare Benefit Policy Manual (Pub. 100-2), Chapter 15, §50 Drugs and Biologicals. In addition, National Coverage Determination (NCD), Local Coverage Determinations (LCDs), and Local Coverage Articles (LCAs) may exist and compliance



with these policies is required where applicable. They can be found at: <u>http://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/search/advanced-search.aspx</u>. Additional indications may be covered at the discretion of the health plan.

Medicare Part B Covered Diagnosis Codes (applicable to existing NCD/LCD/LCA):

Jurisdiction(s): 6, K	NCD/LCD/LCA Document (s): A52370						
https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/new-search/search-							
results.aspx?keyword=a52370&areaId=all&docType=NCA%2CCAL%2CNCD%2CMEDCAC%2CTA%2CMCD%2C6%2C3%2C5%2C1							
<u>%2CF%2CP</u>							

	Medicare Part B Administrative Contractor (MAC) Jurisdictions							
Jurisdiction	Applicable State/US Territory	Contractor						
E (1)	CA, HI, NV, AS, GU, CNMI	Noridian Healthcare Solutions, LLC						
F (2 & 3)	AK, WA, OR, ID, ND, SD, MT, WY, UT, AZ	Noridian Healthcare Solutions, LLC						
5	KS, NE, IA, MO	Wisconsin Physicians Service Insurance Corp (WPS)						
6	MN, WI, IL	National Government Services, Inc. (NGS)						
H (4 & 7)	LA, AR, MS, TX, OK, CO, NM	Novitas Solutions, Inc.						
8	MI, IN	Wisconsin Physicians Service Insurance Corp (WPS)						
N (9)	FL, PR, VI	First Coast Service Options, Inc.						
J (10)	TN, GA, AL	Palmetto GBA, LLC						
M (11)	NC, SC, WV, VA (excluding below)	Palmetto GBA, LLC						
L (12)	DE, MD, PA, NJ, DC (includes Arlington & Fairfax counties and the city of Alexandria in VA)	Novitas Solutions, Inc.						
К (13 & 14)	NY, CT, MA, RI, VT, ME, NH	National Government Services, Inc. (NGS)						
15	кү, он	CGS Administrators, LLC						



Appendix 3 – CLINICAL LITERATURE REVIEW

OS = overall survival; PFS = progression-free survival; ORR = objective response rate; CR = complete response; PR = partial response; DoR = duration of response; TTP = time to progression; FFS = failure-free survival; EFS = event-free survival; PFR = progression free rate; CBR = clinical benefit rate; SCC = squamous cell carcinoma; FOLFOX = 5-FU/leucovorin/oxaliplatin; FOLFIRI = 5-FU/leucovorin/irinotecan; CapeOX = capecitabine/oxaliplatin

Colorectal Cancer (CRC)

Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion
Bevacizumab (bev) + irinotecan + bolus 5FU+ leucovorin (IFL)	2A	Yes	Phase 3 (Study AVF2107g), randomized, double-blind, active- controlled	IFL + placebo	OS	First-line	• The addition of bevacizumab to fluorouracil-based combination chemotherapy results in statistically significant improvement in survival (4.7 month increase in median OS) among patients with metastatic colorectal cancer
Bevacizumab + FOLFOX	2A	Yes	Phase 2 (TREE study), randomized, open-label	Bevacizumab + bFOL (bolus FU, LV, oxaliplatin) vs. bevacizumab + CapeOX	Incidence of grade 3/4 AEs	First-line	 The addition of bevacizumab to oxaliplatin and fluoropyrimidine regimens is well tolerated as first-line treatment of mCRC and does not markedly change overall toxicity. First-line oxaliplatin and fluoropyrimidine-based therapy plus bevacizumab resulted in a median OS of approximately 2 years.
Bevacizumab + FOLFOX or XELOX	2A	Yes	<u>Phase 3</u> (NO16966), randomized	Placebo + FOLFOX or XELOX	PFS	First-line	 The addition of bevacizumab to oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy significantly improved PFS in this first-line trial in patients with mCRC



							• Overall survival differences did not reach statistical significance, and response rate was not improved by the addition of bevacizumab.
Bevacizumab + FOLFOXIRI	2A	Yes	Phase 3 (TRIBE), randomized, open-label, multi-center	Bevacizumab + FOLFIRI	PFS	First-line	• FOLFOXIRI plus bevacizumab, as compared with FOLFIRI plus bevacizumab, improved the outcome in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer and increased the incidence of some adverse events
			analysis				
Bevacizumab + capecitabine			<u>Phase 3</u> (<u>AVEX)</u> , open- label, randomized	Capecitabine	PFS	First-line	• The combination of bevacizumab and capecitabine demonstrated a significant improvement in PFS and was well- tolerated in elderly patients with metastatic colorectal cancer
Bevacizumab + FU + LV			<u>Phase 2,</u> randomized	FU + LV + placebo	OS	First-line	• Addition of bevacizumab to FU/LV as first-line therapy in CRC patients who were not considered optimal candidates for first-line irinotecan treatment provided clinically significant patient benefit, including statistically significant improvement in progression-free survival.
Cetuximab + FOLFIRI	2A (for KRAS/ NRAS WT and left- sided tumors only)	Yes	Phase 3 (CRYSTAL), randomized, open-label, multi-center	FOLFIRI	PFS	First-line	• First-line treatment with cetuximab plus FOLFIRI, as compared with FOLFIRI alone, reduced the risk of progression of metastatic colorectal cancer. The benefit of cetuximab was limited to patients with KRAS wild-type tumors.
			<u>Updated</u> analysis				



Cetuximab + FOLFOX	2A (for KRAS/ NRAS WT and left- sided tumors only)		<u>Phase 3</u> (<u>TAILOR),</u> open-label, randomized	FOLFOX	PFS	First-line	• Combination of FOLFOX with cetuximab is effective in first-line treatment of patients with RAS wild-type mCRC with a benefit in both PFS and OS.
Panitumumab + FOLFOX	2A (for KRAS/ NRAS WT and left- sided tumors only)	Yes	Phase 3 (PRIME), randomized, open-label <u>Final results</u>	FOLFOX	PFS	First-line	• Additional RAS mutations predicted a lack of response in patients who received panitumumab-FOLFOX4. In patients who had metastatic colorectal cancer without RAS mutations, improvements in overall survival were observed with panitumumab-FOLFOX4 therapy
Panitumumab+ FOLFIRI	2A (for KRAS/ NRAS WT and left- sided tumors only)	No	<u>Phase 2,</u> single-arm	N/A		First-line	• A favorable efficacy (ORR 56%) was observed in patients with KRAS wild-type CRC receiving first-line panitumumab plus FOLFIRI treatment.
Bevacizumab + FOLFIRI	2A	Yes	Phase 3 (FIRE- 3), randomized, open-label Primary tumor location analysis	Cetuximab + FOLFIRI	ORR	First-line	 The proportion of patients who achieved an objective response did not significantly differ between the FOLFIRI plus cetuximab and FOLFIRI plus bevacizumab. A longer association in OS with FOLFIRI plus cetuximab was demonstrated for patients with KRAS exon 2 wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer. More benefit was shown for cetuximab in left-sided tumors than bevacizumab.
Bevacizumab + FOLFOX or FOLFIRI	2A	Yes	Phase 3 (CALGB/ SWOG), randomized	Cetuximab + FOLFOX or FOLFIRI	OS	First-line	• Among patients with KRAS WT untreated advanced or metastatic colorectal cancer, there was no significant difference in overall survival between the addition of cetuximab vs bevacizumab to chemotherapy as initial biologic treatment.



			Retrospective re-analysis – Impact of primary tumor location				 In KRAS wild type mCRC, patients with left-sided primary tumor have superior OS and PFS versus patients with right- sided primary tumor.
Panitumumab + FOLFOX	2A (for KRAS/ NRAS WT and left- sided tumors only)	Yes	<u>Phase 2</u> (<u>PEAK),</u> randomized, multi-center	Bevacizumab + FOLFOX	PFS	First-line	• PFS was similar and OS was improved with panitumumab relative to bevacizumab when combined with FOLFOX in patients with wild-type KRAS tumors.
Bevacizumab- containing regimen	2A	Yes	Retrospective meta-analysis of FIRE-3, CALGB/ SWOG 80405, & PEAK	Cetuximab or panitumumab- containing regimens		First-line	 RAS wild-type left-sided CRC had a significantly greater survival benefit from anti-EGFR treatment compared with anti-VEGF treatment when added to standard chemo Bevacizumab was associated with a longer survival in patients with right-sided CRC
After first-line beva	cizumab-containing ro	egimen in me	tastatic disease				
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion
Bevacizumab (bev) + fluoropyrimidine- based chemotherapy including either irinotecan or oxaliplatin	2A	Yes	Phase 3 (TML/ML1814 7 study), prospective, randomized, open-label, multinational, controlled	Fluoropyrimidine- based chemotherapy including either irinotecan or oxaliplatin	OS	Previous treatment with bev + fluoropyrimidin e and either oxaliplatin or irinotecan	• Maintenance of VEGF inhibition with bevacizumab plus standard second-line chemotherapy beyond disease progression has clinical benefits in OS and PFS in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. Treatment effects were independent of KRAS mutation status.



Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion
Bevacizumab + 5- fluorouracil (5-FU) + leucovorin + oxaliplatin (FOLFOX4)	2A (preferred after previous oxaliplatin- or fluoropyrimidine- based therapy without irinotecan or oxaliplatin)	Yes	Phase 3 (Study E3200), open- label, randomized, active- controlled, multicenter	5-fluorouracil (5- FU) + leucovorin + oxaliplatin (FOLFOX4)	OS	Second-line	• The addition of bevacizumab to oxaliplatin, fluorouracil, and leucovorin improves survival duration for patients with previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer
Bevacizumab + FOLFIRI	2A (preferred after previous oxaliplatin- or fluoropyrimidine- based therapy without irinotecan or oxaliplatin)	Yes	Phase 2 (SPIRITT), randomized, multi-center	Panitumumab + FOLFIRI	PFS	Second-line after oxaliplatin- based therapy plus bevacizumab	• Panitumumab or bevacizumab with FOLFIRI as second-line treatment had efficacy similar in patients whose disease progressed during oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy with bevacizumab
Bevacizumab + TAS-102 (trifluridine + tipiracil)	2A	No	Phase 2, randomized, open-label	TAS-102 (trifluridine + tipiracil)	PFS	Refractory or intolerant to a fluoropyrimidin e, irinotecan, oxaliplatin, and cetuximab or panitumumab (only for RAS wild-type)	 In patients with chemorefractory metastatic colorectal cancer, TAS-102 plus bevacizumab, as compared with TAS- 102 monotherapy, was associated with a significant and clinically relevant improvement in progression-free survival with tolerable toxicity.
Panitumumab	2A	No	Phase 3, open-label, randomized <u>Retrospective</u> <u>analysis</u>	Best supportive care (BSC)	PFS	After disease progression on oxaliplatin/ irinotecan- based chemotherapy	• Panitumumab monotherapy efficacy in mCRC is confined to patients with WT KRAS tumors



Panitumumab	2A	Yes	Phase 3, randomized	Best supportive care (BSC)	OS	After disease progression on oxaliplatin/ irinotecan- based chemotherapy	 Panitumumab significantly improved OS in wild-type KRAS exon 2 mCRC.
Panitumumab + FOLFIRI	2A	Yes	Phase 3 (Study 181), randomized	FOLFIRI	PFS OS	Second-line	 Panitumumab plus FOLFIRI significantly improved PFS, however the improvement in OS was nonsignificant
Cetuximab + irinotecan	2A	Yes	<u>Phase 3</u> (EPIC), multi- center, open- label	Irinotecan	OS	After fluoropyrimidin e and oxaliplatin	 Cetuximab and irinotecan improved PFS and ORR versus irinotecan alone. OS was similar between study groups
Panitumumab	2A	No	Phase 3 (ASPECCT), randomized, multi-center, open-label, non-inferiority	Cetuximab	Non- inferiority OS	Chemo- refractory	 Panitumumab is non-inferior to cetuximab. These agents provide similar overall survival benefit in patients with KRAS wild type mCRC.
Ziv-Aflibercept+ FOLFIRI	2A (after regimen NOT containing irinotecan)	Yes	Phase 3 (VELOUR), randomized Subgroup analysis	FOLFIRI + placebo	OS	Second-line after oxaliplatin- based regimen	 Aflibercept in combination with FOLFIRI conferred a statistically significant survival benefit over FOLFIRI combined with placebo in patients with mCRC previously treated with oxaliplatin Benefit in OS was also shown in patients with prior bevacizumab treatment
Ramucirumab +FOLFIRI	2A (after regimen NOT containing irinotecan)	Yes	Phase 3 (RAISE), randomized, double-blind, multi-center	FOLFIRI + placebo	OS	After first-line fluoro + oxali + bev	 Ramucirumab plus FOLFIRI significantly improved overall survival compared with placebo plus FOLFIRI as second-line treatment for patients with metastatic colorectal carcinoma


Pembrolizumab	2A	Yes	Phase 2	N/A	ORR PFS rate	After 2-4 previous therapies	• Mismatch-repair status predicted clinical benefit of immune checkpoint blockade with pembrolizumab in patients with CRC
Nivolumab +/- ipilimumab	2A	Yes	<u>Phase 2</u> (<u>CheckMate-</u> <u>142),</u> open- label, multi- center	N/A	ORR	Second-line or later	 Nivolumab provided durable responses and disease control in pre-treated patients with dMMR/MSI-H metastatic colorectal cancer
Bevacizumab + FOLFIRI or FOLFOX	2A	Yes	Phase 2 (PRODIGE 18), randomized	Erbitux + FOLFIRI or FOLFOX	PFS	Second-line after Avastin chemotherapy	• In wtKRAS mCRC patients progressing after bevacizumab plus chemotherapy, continuation beyond progression with bevacizumab and crossover chemotherapy is associated with a numerically higher but not statistically significant median PFS and OS compared to cetuximab plus chemotherapy.

Non-Squamous Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC)

First-Line Therapy	irst-Line Therapy of Recurrent, Advanced, or Metastatic Disease - EGFR, ALK negative or unknown, PD-L1 ≥ 50%										
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion				
Pembrolizumab	1 preferred	Yes	Phase 3 (KEYNOTE-024), open-label, randomized	Platinum- based chemotherapy	PFS	First-line	 In patients with advanced NSCLC and PD- L1 expression on at least 50% of tumor cells, pembrolizumab was associated with significantly longer progression-free and overall survival and with fewer adverse events than was platinum-based chemotherapy 				



Atezolizumab	2A preferred for PD-L1 ≥50%	Yes for TC ≥50% or IC ≥10%	<u>Phase 3</u> (IMpower110), randomized, open-label	Carboplatin or cisplatin + pemetrexed (non- squamous) or gemcitabine (squamous)	OS	First-line	 IMpower110 met the primary OS endpoint with statistically significant and clinically meaningful improvement as first-line therapy in patients with TC ≥50% or IC ≥10%.
Cemiplimab-rwlc	1 preferred for PD-L1 ≥50%	Yes for TPS ≥50%	Phase 3 (EMPOWER- Lung 1), randomized, multi-center, open-label, controlled	Platinum- doublet chemotherapy	OS PFS	First-line	• Cemiplimab monotherapy significantly improved overall survival and progression-free survival compared with chemotherapy in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer with PD-L1 of at least 50%.
First-Line Therapy	of Recurrent, Adva	nced, or Meta	static Disease	1		l	
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion
Bevacizumab +	1 (for adeno-	Yes	<u>Phase 2/3</u>	Carboplatin +	OS	First-line	• The addition of bevacizumab to paclitaxel
carboplatin + paclitaxel, followed by maintenance therapy with bevacizumab	carcinoma only; PS 0-1)		(ECOG 4599), randomized	paclitaxel			plus carboplatin in the treatment of selected patients with non-small-cell lung cancer has a significant survival benefit with the risk of increased treatment- related deaths



bevacizumab, or both				paclitaxel (BCP)			
Pembrolizumab + carboplatin (or cisplatin) + pemetrexed. followed by pembrolizumab + pemetrexed maintenance therapy for up to 35 total cycles	1 preferred (for adeno- carcinoma only; PS 0-1)	Yes	Phase 3 (KEYNOTE-189), double-blind, randomized (2:1)	Carboplatin (or cisplatin) + pemetrexed + placebo	OS PFS	First-line	 In patients with previously untreated metastatic nonsquamous NSCLC without EGFR or ALK mutations, the addition of pembrolizumab to standard chemotherapy of pemetrexed and a platinum-based drug resulted in significantly longer overall survival and progression-free survival than chemotherapy alone
Carboplatin + docetaxel (DCb) or Cisplatin + docetaxel (DC)	1 (for PS 0-1) 2A (for PS 2)	No	Phase 3 (TAX 326), randomized, multinational	Cisplatin + vinorelbine (VC)		First-line	• DC resulted in a more favorable ORR and OS rate than VC. Both DC and DCb were better tolerated and provided patients with consistently improved QoL compared with VC. These findings demonstrate that a docetaxel plus platinum combination is an effective treatment option with a favorable therapeutic index for first-line treatment of advanced or metastatic /NSCLC.
Carboplatin + paclitaxel (TC)	1 (for PS 0-1) 2A (for PS 2)	No	<u>Phase 3,</u> randomized	Cisplatin + irinotecan (IP) vs. cisplatin + gemcitabine (GP) vs. cisplatin + vinorelbine (NP)	OS	First-line	• The four regimens have similar efficacy and different toxicity profiles, and they can be used to treat advanced NSCLC patients.
Cisplatin + etoposide	1 (for PS 0-1)	No	<u>Phase 3,</u> randomized	Cisplatin + gemcitabine	ORR	First-line	 Compared with etoposide-cisplatin, gemcitabine-cisplatin provides a



							significantly higher response rate and a delay in disease progression
Bevacizumab + cisplatin + gemcitabine	None	No	<u>Phase 3</u> (AVAiL), randomized	Cisplatin + gemcitabine + placebo (CG)	PFS	First-line	 Combining bevacizumab (7.5 or 15 mg/kg) with CG significantly improved PFS and objective response rate. Bevacizumab plus platinum-based chemotherapy offers clinical benefit for bevacizumab-eligible patients with advanced NSCLC.
Bevacizumab + carboplatin + pemetrexed, followed by pemetrexed + bevacizumab (PemCBev)	2A (for adeno- carcinoma only; PS 0-1)	No	<u>Phase 3</u> (<u>PointBreak),</u> randomized	Bevacizumab + carboplatin + paclitaxel, followed by bevacizumab (PacCBev)	OS	First-line	 OS did not improve with the PemCBev regimen compared with the PacCBev regimen, although PFS was significantly improved with PemCBev
Bevacizumab + cisplatin + pemetrexed followed by bevacizumab maintenance	2A (for adeno- carcinoma only; PS 0-1)	No	<u>Phase 3</u> (AVAPERL [MO22089]), randomized	Bevacizumab + cisplatin + pemetrexed followed by bevacizumab + pemetrexed maintenance	PFS	First-line	• In patients with nonsquamous NSCLC who had achieved disease control with platinum-based chemotherapy plus bevacizumab, bevacizumab plus pemetrexed maintenance was associated with a significant PFS benefit compared with bevacizumab alone
Continuation Mair	ntenance Therapy	<u> </u>					
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion
Bevacizumab monotherapy (Bevacizumab + carboplatin + paclitaxel,	1 (if previously given)	No	<u>Phase 2/3</u> (ECOG 4599), randomized	Carboplatin + paclitaxel, followed by no maintenance therapy	OS	First-line	 The addition of bevacizumab to paclitaxel plus carboplatin in the treatment of selected patients with non-small-cell lung cancer has a significant survival benefit with the risk of increased treatment- related deaths



followed by maintenance therapy with bevacizumab)							
Bevacizumab + atezolizumab (Atezolizumab + carboplatin + paclitaxel + bevacizumab, followed by maintenance therapy with atezolizumab + bevacizumab [ABCP])	1 (if previously given)	No	Phase 3 (IMpower150), open-label, randomized (1:1:1)	Atezolizumab + carboplatin + paclitaxel, followed by atezolizumab (ACP) vs. bevacizumab + carboplatin + paclitaxel, followed by bevacizumab (BCP)	PFS	First-line	 The addition of atezolizumab to bevacizumab plus chemotherapy significantly improved progression-free survival and overall survival among patients with metastatic nonsquamous NSCLC, regardless of PD-L1 expression and EGFR or ALK genetic alteration status
Bevacizumab + pemetrexed (Bevacizumab + carboplatin + pemetrexed, followed by pemetrexed + bevacizumab [PemCBev])	2A (if previously given)	No	<u>Phase 3</u> (<u>PointBreak),</u> randomized	Bevacizumab + carboplatin + paclitaxel, followed by bevacizumab (PacCBev)	OS	First-line	• OS did not improve with the PemCBev regimen compared with the PacCBev regimen, although PFS was significantly improved with PemCBev
Bevacizumab + cisplatin + pemetrexed followed by bevacizumab maintenance	2A (if previously given)	No	<u>Phase 3</u> (AVAPERL [MO22089]), randomized	Bevacizumab + cisplatin + pemetrexed followed by bevacizumab + pemetrexed maintenance	PFS	First-line	• In patients with nonsquamous NSCLC who had achieved disease control with platinum-based chemotherapy plus bevacizumab, bevacizumab plus pemetrexed maintenance was associated with a significant PFS benefit compared with bevacizumab alone



Bevacizumab + erlotinib Study is ongoing	2A (first-line therapy) 2A (continuation therapy following progression on erlotinib with bevacizumab)	No	Phase 3 (NEJ026), randomized, open-label	Erlotinib	PFS	First-line	• The results of this interim analysis showed that bevacizumab plus erlotinib combination therapy improves progression-free survival compared with erlotinib alone in patients with EGFR- positive NSCLC.
Subsequent Thera	Py NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion
Bevacizumab + standard-of-care (Bev + SOC)	2A	No	Phase 3b (AvaALL), randomized, open-label	Standard-of- care (SOC: (erlotinib or docetaxel or pemetrexed)	OS	Second-line after prior bevacizumab plus platinum- doublet chemotherapy and at least 2 cycles of bevacizumab maintenance	• Results showed that although median OS was longer for patients in the bevacizumab arm plus SOC, it was not significantly longer compared with patients in the SOC alone arm.
Nivolumab	1 (for first progression) 2A (for subsequent progression)	Yes	Phase 3 (CheckMate 057), randomized, open-label	Docetaxel	OS	Subsequent	• Among patients with advanced nonsquamous NSCLC that had progressed during or after platinum-based chemotherapy, overall survival was longer with nivolumab than with docetaxel



Pembrolizumab (2mg/kg or 10mg/kg)	1 preferred (for PS 0-2; PD-L1 ≥ 1%)	Yes (after platinum therapy)	Phase 2/3 (KEYNOTE-010), randomized (1:1:1), open- label	Docetaxel	OS PFS	Previously treated	• Pembrolizumab prolongs overall survival compared to docetaxel and has a favorable benefit-to-risk profile in patients with previously treated, PD-L1-positive, advanced non-small-cell lung cancer.
Atezolizumab	1 (for first progression) 2A (for subsequent progression)	Yes (after platinum therapy)	Phase 3 (OAK), open-label, multicenter randomized (1:1)	Docetaxel	OS	Second- or third-line	• Atezolizumab treatment results in a statistically significant and clinically relevant improvement in OS versus docetaxel in second- and third-line NSCLC, regardless of PD-L1 expression and histology
Ramucirumab + docetaxel	2A (first progression only)	Yes (after platinum therapy)	Phase 3 (REVEL), multicenter, double-blind, randomized (1:1)	Docetaxel + placebo	OS	Second-line after platinum- based regimen	 Ramucirumab plus docetaxel improves survival as second-line treatment of patients with stage IV NSCLC

Cervical Cancer

Recurrent or Meta	Recurrent or Metastatic Disease, First-line Therapy										
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion				
Bevacizumab + cisplatin + paclitaxel	1 preferred	Yes	Phase 3 (GOG- 0240), randomized, controlled, open-label	Cisplatin + paclitaxel vs. topotecan + paclitaxel +/- bevacizumab vs. topotecan + paclitaxel	OS	Recurrent or persistent disease	• Bevacizumab improved survival in patients with advanced cervical cancer with by 3.5 months compared to chemotherapy alone.				



Cisplatin + paclitaxel (TP)	1 other		<u>Phase 3 (GOG</u> <u>169),</u> randomized	Cisplatin	ORR PFS OS	First-line	 Combination therapy with cisplatin and paclitaxel is superior to cisplatin alone with respect to response rate and PFS.
Cisplatin + paclitaxel (TP)	1 other	No	<u>Phase 3</u> (JCOG0505), randomized	Carboplatin + paclitaxel (TC)	OS	≤ 1 platinum- regimen and no prior taxane	TC was non-inferior to TP in patients with metastatic or recurrent cervical cancer. However, among patients who had not received prior cisplatin therapy, TC demonstrated to be inferior to TP.
Bevacizumab + topotecan + paclitaxel	1 other	Yes	See data for beva	cizumab + cisplatin	n + paclitaxel; <u>Phase 3 (C</u>	<u>50G-0240)</u>	
Carboplatin + paclitaxel	1 other (for patients who have received prior cisplatin therapy)	No	See data for cispl	atin + paclitaxel; <u>Pr</u>	nase 3 (JCOG0505)		
Bevacizumab + carboplatin + paclitaxel	2A preferred	No		cizumab + cisplatin atin + paclitaxel; <u>Pł</u>	n + paclitaxel; <u>Phase 3 (C</u> nase 3 (JCOG0505)	<u>50G-0240)</u>	
Topotecan + paclitaxel	2A other	No	See data for beva	cizumab + cisplatin	n + paclitaxel; <u>Phase 3 (C</u>	<u>GOG-0240)</u>	
Second-line Therap	ру						
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion



Bevacizumab	2В	No	Phase 2	N/A	6-mon PFS	Second- or third- line	• Bevacizumab is active in second- and third-line treatment with an ORR of 11%
Pembrolizumab	2A preferred (for MSI-H /dMMR or PD- L1 positive tumors)	Yes (for PD-L1 positive tumors)	<u>Phase 2</u> (KEYNOTE-158), multi-center, open-label, multi-cohort	N/A	ORR	Second-line or later	 Pembrolizumab demonstrated anti- tumor activity with an ORR of 16% PD-L1 expressing refractory cervical cancer

Breast Cancer

Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion
Bevacizumab + paclitaxel (AT)	2A	No	Phase 3 (E2100), open-label, randomized	Paclitaxel (T)	PFS	First-line	 Initial therapy of metastatic breast cancer with paclitaxel plus bevacizumab prolongs progression-free survival by 5.9 months, but not overall survival, as compared with paclitaxel alone
Bevacizumab + chemotherapy (capecitabine, taxane, or anthracycline)	2A	No	<u>Phase 3 (RIBBON-</u> <u>1),</u> randomized, double-blind, placebo- controlled	Chemotherapy + placebo	PFS	First-line	 The combination of BV with capecitabine, a taxane, or anthracycline improves clinica benefit in terms of increased PFS in first- line treatment of metastatic breast cancer however a significant increase in OS was not observed.
Bevacizumab + docetaxel	None	No	Phase 3 (AVADO), randomized, double-blind	Docetaxel + placebo	PFS	First-line	 Bevacizumab 15 mg/kg every 3 weeks increased PFS when combined with docetaxel as first-line therapy for MBC compared with docetaxel plus placebo.



Doxorubicin + cyclophosphamide	2A	No	Phase 3, randomized,	Doxorubicin + docetaxel (AT)	TTP	First-line	• AT significantly improves TTP and ORR compared with AC in patients with MBC,
(AC)			multi-center				but there is no difference in OS
Epirubicin + cyclophosphamide (EC)	2A	No	Phase 3 (AB01), randomized, multi-center	Epirubicin + paclitaxel (EP)	PFS	First-line	 In terms of progression-free survival and overall survival, there was no evidence of a difference between EP and EC. The data demonstrate no additional advantage to using EP instead of EC as first-line chemotherapy for MBC in taxane-naïve patients.
Docetaxel + capecitabine (DC)	2A	No	Phase 3, randomized, multi-center	Docetaxel + epirubicin (DE)	ТТР	First-line	• The DE and DC regimens have similar efficacy but different toxicity. Either regimen can be used as front-line treatment of ABC.
Gemcitabine + paclitaxel (GT)	2A	No	<u>Phase 3,</u> randomized	Paclitaxel (T)	OS	First-line (after adjuvant anthracycline)	• Gemcitabine added to paclitaxel is effective therapy for women with advanced breast cancer who previously received anthracyclines with a significant improvement in OS and TTP.
Nab-paclitaxel + bevacizumab	2A	Yes	<u>Phase 3,</u> randomized	lxabepilone + bevacizumab vs. paclitaxel + bevacizumab	PFS	First-line	 PFS and OS for nab-paclitaxel was not superior to paclitaxel with a trend toward inferiority Toxicity was increased for nab-paclitaxel

Renal Cell Carcinoma

First-line therapy	First-line therapy relapsed or stage IV disease – clear cell histology										
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End- Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion				



						1	
Bevacizumab + interferon alfa	None	Yes	<u>Phase 3</u> (AVOREN), multi-center, randomized, double-blind	Interferon-alfa (IFN-α) + placebo	OS	First-line for metastatic disease	• The combination of bevacizumab with interferon alfa as first-line treatment in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma results in a significant improvement in progression-free survival, compared with interferon alfa alone.
Bevacizumab + interferon alfa	None	Yes	Phase 3 (CALGB 90206), randomized	IFN-α	OS	First-line for metastatic disease	• Avastin in combination with interferon alfa produced a superior PFS and higher ORR than interferon alfa alone. However, there were no significant differences in OS between the two groups and more toxicity associated with the combination arm.
Pembrolizumab + axitinib	1 preferred	Yes	<u>Phase 3</u> (KEYNOTE-426), randomized, multi-center, open-label	Sunitinib	PFS OS	First-line therapy for advanced RCC	• Patients with previously untreated advanced renal-cell carcinoma, treatment with pembrolizumab plus axitinib resulted in significantly longer overall survival and progression-free survival, as well as a higher objective response rate, than treatment with sunitinib.
Pazopanib	1 preferred for favorable risk 2A other for poor/ intermediate risk	Yes	Phase 3 (VEG105192), open-label, double-blind, randomized, multi-center <u>Final OS results</u>	Placebo	PFS	First-line or after cytokine therapy	 Pazopanib demonstrated significant improvement in PFS and tumor response compared with placebo in treatment- naive and cytokine-pretreated patients with advanced and/or metastatic RCC.
Sunitinib	1 preferred for favorable risk 2A other for poor/	Yes	Phase 3, randomized, multi-center	IFN-α	PFS	First-line	 PFS and ORR were both significantly longer/ higher with sunitinib than IFN-α. A trend towards OS advantage of sunitinib over IFN-α was demonstrated.



	intermediate risk						
Nivolumab + ipilimumab	1 preferred for intermediate/ poor-risk 2A for favorable risk	Yes for intermediate/ poor-risk	<u>Phase 3</u> (<u>CheckMate</u> <u>214)</u> , open- label, multi- center	Sunitinib	ORR PFS OS	First-line	• Overall survival and objective response rates were significantly higher with nivolumab plus ipilimumab than with sunitinib among intermediate- and poor- risk patients with previously untreated advanced renal-cell carcinoma.
Nivolumab + ipilimumab	1 preferred for intermediate/ poor-risk 2A for favorable risk	Yes for intermediate/ poor-risk	Phase 1 (CheckMate 016)	N/A	Safety	All lines of therapy	 Nivolumab plus ipilimumab demonstrated an ORR of 40.4% in patients of all risk-groups, including patients who received prior therapy.
Avelumab + axitinib	2A other	Yes	Phase 3 (JAVELIN Renal 101), randomized, multi-center, open-label	Sunitinib	PFS OS	First-line therapy of advanced RCC	• Progression-free survival was significantly longer with avelumab plus axitinib than with sunitinib among patients who received these agents as first-line treatment for advanced renal-cell carcinoma.
Temsirolimus	2A certain circumstances for poor risk	Yes	<u>Phase 3 (Global</u> <u>ARCC),</u> multi- center	IFN-α vs. temsirolimus + IFN-α	OS	First-line	 As compared with interferon alfa, temsirolimus improved overall survival among patients with metastatic renal-cell carcinoma and a poor prognosis
Cabozantinib	2A preferred for poor/ intermediate risk 2B for favorable risk	Yes	Phase 2 (CABOSUN), open-label, randomized	Sunitinib	PFS	First-line	 Cabozantinib demonstrated a significant clinical benefit in PFS and ORR over standard-of-care sunitinib as first-line therapy in patients with intermediate- or poor-risk mRCC.



Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End- Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion
Bevacizumab	2A certain circumstances	No	<u>Phase 2</u>	N/A	12-mon PFS	First- or second-line	• PFS with bevacizumab alone ranged from 6-25 months and suggest activity with minimal toxicity.
Bevacizumab + everolimus	2A certain circumstances	No	<u>Phase 2</u> , single- center	N/A	PFS	First-line	 Tumors with significant papillary or chromophobe elements showed higher PFS and ORR than other histologies. Subjects with other variants (medullary RCC and unclassified RCC without papillary features), achieved little or no benefit from everolimus plus bevacizumab.
Sunitinib	2A preferred	Yes	<u>Phase 2</u>	N/A	ORR	No prior sunitinib, sorafenib, or bevacizumab	• Clinical activity with sunitinib in non-clear cell RCC is supported by an ORR of 36% and PFS of 6.4 months.
Sunitinib	2A preferred	Yes	<u>Phase 2</u> (<u>ASPEN),</u> multi- center, open- label, randomized	Everolimus	PFS	First-line	• Sunitinib improved PFS compared with everolimus in patients with metastatic non-clear cell RCC.
Bevacizumab + erlotinib	2A certain circumstances	No	<u>Phase 2</u>	N/A	ORR	First- and second-line	• Combination of bevacizumab plus erlotinib demonstrated activity in patients with advanced papillary RCC, particularly in patients with HLRCC (ORR 60% for HLRCC and 29% for sporadic papillary RCC).
Everolimus	2A other	No	<u>Expanded-</u> access trial (REACT)	N/A		After prior anti- angiogenic therapy	 Approximately 50% of patients with metastatic non-clear cell RCC achieved disease control with everolimus.



Temsirolimus	1 (for poor	No	<u>Retrospective</u>	N/A	 First-line	• Temsirolimus appears to be efficacious in
	prognosis		analysis of			patients with clear cell and non-clear cell
	features)		phase 3 Global			histologies and can, therefore, be used
	2A (all others)		ARCC Trial			for the treatment of all types of RCC

CNS Cancer

Recurrent anaplas	Recurrent anaplastic glioma										
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion				
Bevacizumab + chemotherapy	2B	No	Retrospective analysis	N/A		Recurrent gliomas	• Combination therapy with bevacizumab and chemotherapy demonstrated a 6 month PFS rate of 32% for patients with anaplastic glioma and 42% for patients with glioblastoma.				
Bevacizumab	2A	No	Retrospective analysis	N/A	PFS	Recurrent alkylator- refractory anaplastic oligodendroglioma	 Bevacizumab demonstrated efficacy in patients with recurrent alkylator- refractory anaplastic oligodendroglioma 				
Bevacizumab	2A	No	Retrospective analysis	N/A		Recurrent alkylator- refractory anaplastic astrocytoma	• Bevacizumab demonstrated efficacy with a 6 month PFS rate of 60%.				
Bevacizumab + irinotecan	2A	No	Retrospective analysis	N/A		Recurrent oligodendroglioma	• Bevacizumab plus irinotecan is clinically active with a 6-mon PFS rate of 42%				
Bevacizumab + irinotecan	2A	No	<u>Phase 2</u>	N/A		Recurrent grade III-IV glioma	• The combination of bevacizumab and irinotecan is an active regimen for recurrent grade III-IV glioma with a 6-mon PFS of 38% and 6-mon OS of 72%.				



Bevacizumab + fotemustine (not FDA approved, available in Europe)	2A	No	<u>Phase 2</u>	N/A	ORR 6-mon PFS	Recurrent glioma	 Combination of bevacizumab and fotemustine in recurrent gliomas resulted an ORR of 35%
Temozolomide	2A	Yes (anaplastic astrocytoma after progression on nitrosourea and procarbazine)	<u>Phase 2,</u> open- label, multi- center	N/A	6-mon PFS	First relapse of anaplastic astrocytoma or anaplastic mixed oligoastrocytoma	• Temozolomide demonstrated good single- agent activity with a 12-month PFS and OS rate of 24% and 56%, respectively, at first relapse in patients with malignant astrocytoma
Carmustine + α- difluoromethyl- ornithine (DFMO)	2A	No	<u>Clinical trial</u>	N/A		Recurrent anaplastic gliomas and glioblastomas	• Carmustine + DFMO demonstration clinical activity with a partial response rate of 9.5% and stable disease in 47.6% in patients with anaplastic gliomas.
Procarbazine + lomustine + vincristine (PCV)	2A	No	Phase 2	N/A		Recurrent low-grade oligodendrogliomas and oligoastrocytomas	 Chemotherapy with PCV is effective in the treatment of recurrent low-grade oligodendrogliomas and oligoastrocytomas
Recurrent glioblas	stoma						
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion
Bevacizumab	2A	Yes	<u>Phase 2</u> (AVF3708g, <u>BRAIN study),</u> multi-center, open-label, non- comparative	Bevacizumab (bev) + irinotecan (CPT)	6-mon PFS ORR	Recurrent GBM	• Bevacizumab alone or in combination with irinotecan appeared to be better than historical control serious with a 6-mon PFS rate of 43-50%.



Bevacizumab + lomustine (bev + CCNU)	2A	No	Phase 3 (EORTC 26101), multi- center, randomized, open-label	Lomustine	OS	Recurrent GBM	• Treatment with bevacizumab plus lomustine prolonged PFS however did not confer a survival advantage over treatment with lomustine alone in patients with progressive GBM.
Bevacizumab + lomustine (bev + CCNU)	2A	Yes	Phase 2 (BELOB), randomized, open-label, multi-center	Single-agent bevacizumab or lomustine	9-mon OS	Recurrent GBM	• Bevacizumab plus lomustine demonstrated increased effectiveness with a 9 month OS rate of 63% compared to either agent alone. (However, a benefit in OS was not observed in the phase III EORTC 26101 trial).
Bevacizumab	2A	Yes	Phase 2	N/A	6-mon PFS	Recurrent GBM	 Single-agent bevacizumab has clinical activity in patients with recurrent GBM with a PFS of 16 weeks and OS of 31 weeks
Bevacizumab + irinotecan	2A	No	Phase 2	N/A		Recurrent GBM	• Bevacizumab and irinotecan are an effective treatment for recurrent glioblastoma with a 6-mon PFS of 46% and 6-mon OS of 77%.
Bevacizumab + fotemustine	2A	No	Phase 2	N/A	ORR 6-mon PFS	Recurrent glioma	 Combination of bevacizumab and fotemustine in recurrent gliomas resulted an ORR of 35%
Bevacizumab + temozolomide	2A	No	Phase 2	N/A		Recurrent glioblastoma after radiation therapy and temozolomide	 Temozolomide and bevacizumab demonstrated a 6-month PFS rate of 18.8% in patients with recurrent glioblastoma.
Bevacizumab + temozolomide	2A	No	Phase 2	N/A		Recurrent glioblastoma	• Bevacizumab plus temozolomide resulted a 6-month PFS rate of 52% in patients with recurrent glioblastoma.



Temozolomide (TMZ)	2A	No	Phase 2, randomized, multi-center, open-label	Procarbazine	6-mon PFS	First-relapse	• Temozolomide is effective in the treatment of patients with recurrent glioblastoma with a 6-month PFS rate of 21%.
Temozolomide (TMZ)	2A	No	Phase 2 (DIRECTOR trial)	N/A	TTF	Rechallenge with TMZ at first- progression	• Temozolomide rechallenge is a treatment option for MGMT promoter-methylated recurrent glioblastoma with a TTF of 3.2 months.
Temozolomide (TMZ)	2A	No	<u>Phase 2</u> (<u>RESCUE),</u> multi- center	N/A		Recurrent glioma (after previous TMZ treatment)	• Rechallenge with TMZ demonstrated a 6- month PFS rate between 23-36%.
Temozolomide (TMZ)	2A	No	Retrospective study	N/A		Non-progressive disease at first MRI after completion of TMZ concurrent with and adjuvant to radiotherapy, a treatment-free interval (TFI) of at least 8 weeks and received TMZ rechallenge at the time of progression	 TFI ≥5 months represents a predictor of retained TMZ sensitivity
Lomustine (CCNU)	2A	Yes	See Phase 3 (EORTC 26101) above				
Carmustine (BCNU)	2A	Yes	<u>Phase 2</u>	N/A		Recurrent glioblastoma	• Carmustine demonstrated a 6-month PFS rate of 17.5%



Procarbazine + lomustine + vincristine (PCV)	2A	No	Retrospective cohort study	Bevacizumab + irinotecan		Second-line	 Bevacizumab plus irinotecan had higher response rates, almost twice the OS, and a lower degree of toxicity in contrast to the PCV group.
Procarbazine + lomustine + vincristine (PCV)	2A	No	Retrospective analysis	N/A		Recurrent glioblastoma	• PCV indicated to be useful in patients with recurrent glioblastoma with a 6-month PFs rate of 38.4%.
Regorafenib	2A preferred	No	Phase 2 (REGOMA), multi-center, open-label, randomized, controlled	Lomustine	OS	Recurrent glioblastoma	 Regorafenib demonstrated a higher OS compared to lomustine in patients with recurrent glioblastoma.
Adult intracranial	and spinal Eper	ndymoma (exclud	ing subependymon	na)	<u> </u>	I	
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion
Bevacizumab (alone or with cytotoxic chemotherapy)	2A	No	<u>Retrospective</u> analysis	N/A		Recurrent ependymoma	• Use of bevacizumab-containing regimens appears to delay tumor progression (TTP 6.4mon) and demonstrated a partial response rate of 75%
Cisplatin	2A	No	<u>Retrospective</u> analysis	Without cisplatin		Recurrent ependymoma	• Cisplatin-based chemotherapy achieved a higher response rate, but did not prolong disease progression-free survival or OS compared to regimens without cisplatin
Meningioma – re	current or progr	essive					
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion



Bevacizumab ± chemotherapy	2A (as a single agent)	No	Retrospective review	N/A		Recurrent or progressive disease	• Bevacizumab appears to be associated with anti-tumor effect with a 6-month PFS rate of 86% when administered as a single agent or in combination with chemotherapy.
Bevacizumab	2A	No	Retrospective review	N/A			 Patients treated with bevacizumab demonstrated a 6-month PFS rate of 43.8% with the best response being stable disease
Radiation necrosi	S						
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion
Bevacizumab	2A	No	<u>Retrospective</u> analysis	N/A		For acute neurologic deterioration in patients with GBM	 Single agent bevacizumab improved function and quality of life in patients with glioblastoma
Bevacizumab (Q 3 weeks for 4 doses)	2A	No	Phase 2, randomized, double-blind, placebo- controlled	Saline	Change in edema volume on MRI at 6 weeks	Radiation necrosis	• Bevacizumab demonstrated efficacy with a response in all 5 patients who received bevacizumab in the treatment of radiation necrosis
Bevacizumab (5mg/kg Q 2 weeks for 4 cycles)	2A	No	Phase 2, randomized, controlled, open-label, multi-center trial	Corticosteroid	2-month ORR	Radiation necrosis after nasopharyngeal cancer therapy	• Compared with corticosteroids, bevacizumab offers improved symptomatic relief and radiographic response.

Ovarian Cancer

Recurrent Epithelial Ovarian, Fallopian Tube, or Primary Peritoneal Cancer – Single agent therapy



Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion
Bevacizumab	2A preferred	No	<u>Phase 2 (GOG</u> <u>170-D)</u>	N/A	PFS ORR	Second- or third- line	• Bevacizumab demonstrated to be clinically active (ORR 21%) in second- and third-line treatment of patients with epithelial ovarian cancer and primary peritoneal cancer.
Topotecan Recurrent Epithelial	2A Ovarian, Fallopi	Yes an Tube, or Prima	Phase 3, randomized, multicenter ry Peritoneal Cancer	Liposomal doxorubicin – Platinum Sensiti		Second-line or later	 Liposomal doxorubicin prolonged survival compared with topotecan in patients with recurrent and refractory epithelial ovarian cancer by almost 7 weeks Survival benefit is pronounced in patients with platinum-sensitive disease
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion
Carboplatin + gemcitabine + bevacizumab, followed by bevacizumab until progression	2A preferred	Yes	<u>Phase 3</u> (OCEANS), randomized, multicenter, blinded, placebo- controlled <u>Final analysis</u>	Carboplatin + gemcitabine + placebo	PFS	Second-line; recurrence after at least 6 months of first-line platinum- based therapy	 Carboplatin, gemcitabine, plus bevacizumab followed by bevacizumab until progression resulted in a statistically significant improvement in PFS compared with carboplatin, gemcitabine, plus placebo The final survival analysis did not show an increase in OS with the chemotherapy plus bevacizumab arm when compared with chemotherapy alone.



Carboplatin + paclitaxel + bevacizumab, followed by bevacizumab until progression	2A preferred	Yes	Phase 3 (GOG- 0213), multicenter, open-label, randomized	Carboplatin + paclitaxel	OS	Second-line or later; relapsing after 6 months of being treatment-free	• The addition of bevacizumab to standard chemotherapy, followed by maintenance therapy until progression, improved the median OS in patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent ovarian cancer, although not statistically significant
Carboplatin + liposomal doxorubicin	2A preferred	Yes (progressed or recurred after platinum- based chemotherapy)	<u>Phase 3</u> (CALYPSO), randomized, multicenter	Carboplatin + paclitaxel	PFS	Second- or third- line therapy with recurrence after more than 6 months since first- or second-line platinum-based therapy	 Carboplatin + liposomal doxorubicin demonstrated superiority in PFS compared to carboplatin + paclitaxel.
Carboplatin + liposomal doxorubicin + bevacizumab (CD- BEV)	2A preferred	No	Phase 3 (AGO- OVAR 2.21), randomized	Carboplatin + gemcitabine + bevacizumab (CG-BEV)	PFS	Recurrent disease after at least 6 months after first- line platinum-based chemotherapy (50% had prior anti- angiogenic treatment)	• CD-BEV provided a significant PFS improvement compared to CG-BEV in patients with recurrent ovarian cancer suitable for platinum-based retreatment. CD-BEV was also associated with fewer serious adverse events.
Bevacizumab + niraparib	2A	No	Phase 2, randomized, open-label	Niraparib	PFS	Recurrent disease after at least 6 months after last platinum-based chemotherapy	 Niraparib plus bevacizumab significantly improved progression- free survival compared with niraparib alone.
Recurrent Epithelial	Ovarian, Fallopi	an Tube, or Primai	y Peritoneal Cancer	– Platinum Resista	ant		
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion



Bevacizumab + chemotherapy (paclitaxel, liposomal doxorubicin, or topotecan)	2A preferred	Yes	Phase 3 (AURELIA), randomized, multi-center, open-label	Chemotherapy alone (paclitaxel, liposomal doxorubicin, or topotecan)	PFS	Platinum-resistant, recurrent disease (no more than 2 prior chemo regimens)	 Adding bevacizumab to chemotherapy statistically significantly improved PFS and ORR; the OS trend was not significant.
Bevacizumab + oral cyclophosphamide	2A preferred	No	Retrospective review	N/A		Platinum-resistant disease	 Bevacizumab and cyclophosphamide demonstrated to be effective in heavily pretreated patients with recurrent ovarian carcinoma
Bevacizumab + oral cyclophosphamide	2A preferred	No	Phase 2	N/A	6-mon PFS	Platinum-resistant, recurrent disease (no more than 2 prior chemo regimens)	• The combination of bevacizumab and oral cyclophosphamide is active in recurrent ovarian cancer with a 6-month PFS rate or 56%.
Docetaxel	2A	No	Phase 2	N/A		Second-line	• Docetaxel is active in paclitaxel- resistant ovarian and peritoneal cancer but, in view of significant hematologic toxicity
Etoposide (oral)	2A	No	Phase 2	N/A		Second-line therapy	• Etoposide is active in platinum- sensitive ovarian cancer with an ORR of 26.8%
Topotecan	2A	Yes	Phase 3, randomized, multicenter	Liposomal doxorubicin		Second-line or later	 Liposomal doxorubicin prolonged survival compared with topotecan in patients with recurrent and refractory epithelial ovarian cancer by almost 7 weeks Survival benefit is pronounced in patients with platinum-sensitive disease



Topotecan weekly (Tw)	2A	Yes	Phase 2 (TOWER), randomized	Topotecan conventional 5-day therapy (Tc)	ORR	Second-line and later	• Conventional dosing of topotecan was more effective than weekly dosing in terms of response. There was no difference in median PFS or median OS.
Epithelial Ovarian, Fa	allopian Tube, o	r Primary Peritone	al Cancer –Adjuvant	and Maintenance	Therapy	1	
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion
Bevacizumab + paclitaxel + carboplatin (bevacizumab given upfront and as maintenance)	2A	Yes	Phase 3 (GOG- 0218), double- blind, placebo- controlled, randomized Subgroup analysis	Carboplatin + paclitaxel intravenous	PFS	Newly diagnosed stage III or IV epithelial ovarian cancer following initial surgical resection	 In the GOG-0218 study, median PFS with bevacizumab plus chemotherapy followed by single-agent bevacizumab was 14.1 months versus 10.3 months with chemotherapy alone. A subgroup analysis suggested that upfront therapy with bevacizumab, carboplatin, and paclitaxel may be beneficial in patients with ascites.
Bevacizumab + paclitaxel + carboplatin intravenous (bevacizumab given upfront and as maintenance)	2A	Yes	Phase 3 (ICON7), randomized <u>Overall survival</u> <u>results</u>	Carboplatin + paclitaxel intravenous	PFS	After surgery; patients with high- risk early-stage disease (clear cell or grade 3 tumors) or advanced disease	• Bevacizumab improved progression- free survival in women with ovarian cancer, however, did not increase overall survival in the study population as a whole. An overall survival benefit was recorded in poor- prognosis patients.
Cisplatin + paclitaxel (IV/IP)	2A	Yes	Phase 3 (GOG 172), randomized	Cisplatin + paclitaxel (IV)	PFS OS	First-line, optimally resected (< 1cm residual mass)	• As compared with intravenous paclitaxel plus cisplatin, intravenous paclitaxel plus intraperitoneal cisplatin and paclitaxel improves survival in patients with optimally debulked stage III ovarian cancer



Paclitaxel + carboplatin	2A	Yes	<u>Phase 3,</u> randomized, non- inferiority trial	Paclitaxel + cisplatin		First-line, optimally resected (< 1cm residual mass)	 In patients with advanced ovarian cancer, a chemotherapy regimen consisting of carboplatin plus paclitaxel results in less toxicity, is easier to administer, and is not inferior, when compared with cisplatin plus paclitaxel
Paclitaxel + carboplatin	2A	Yes	<u>Phase 3 (MITO-2),</u> randomized	Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin (PLD) + carboplatin	PFS	First-line	• Carboplatin/PLD was not superior to carboplatin/paclitaxel, which remains the standard first-line chemotherapy for advanced ovarian cancer.
Docetaxel + carboplatin	2A	Yes	<u>Phase 3,</u> randomized	Paclitaxel + carboplatin	PFS	First-line	• Docetaxel-carboplatin appears to be similar to paclitaxel-carboplatin in terms of progression-free survival and response
Bevacizumab + olaparib Maintenance after prior bevacizumab as primary therapy	1 for BRCA mutation 2A for BRCA wild-type or unknown	Yes	Phase 3 (<u>PAOLA-</u> <u>1</u>), randomized, double-blind	Placebo	PFS OS	Newly diagnosed advanced, high- grade serous or endometrioid ovarian cancer, primary peritoneal cancer, or fallopian- tube cancer with no evidence of disease or to have had a clinical complete or partial response after first-line platinum-taxane chemotherapy plus bevacizumab	 In patients with advanced ovarian cancer receiving first-line standard therapy including bevacizumab, the addition of maintenance olaparib provided a significant progression-free survival benefit, which was substantial in patients with HRD-positive tumors, including those without a BRCA mutation.



Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin (PLD) + carboplatin	2A	No	See paclitaxel + carboplatin above									
Epithelial Ovarian, Fallopian Tube, or Primary Peritoneal Cancer – Neoadjuvant therapy												
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion					
Bevacizumab + carboplatin + paclitaxel	1 for neoadjuvant therapy 2A for stable disease following neoadjuvant therapy	No	Phase 2 (GEICO 1205/NOVA TRIAL), randomized, open-label	Carboplatin + paclitaxel	ORR	Neoadjuvant followed by maintenance therapy	 Neoadjuvant therapy with bevacizumab improved surgical feasibility in patients initially considered unresectable. 					
Cisplatin or carboplatin	None	No	<u>Phase 3 (EORTC-</u> <u>NCIC),</u> randomized	Primary debulking surgery	OS	Neoadjuvant therapy of stage IIIC or IV disease	• Neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by interval debulking surgery was not inferior to primary debulking surgery followed by chemotherapy as a treatment option for patients with bulky stage IIIC or IV ovarian carcinoma					
Carboplatin + paclitaxel	2A	No	<u>Phase 3</u> (JCOG0602), randomized	Primary debulking surgery	OS	Neoadjuvant therapy	 Superiority of neoadjuvant chemotherapy or primary debulking surgery could not be confirmed. 					
Relapsed sex cord-st	romal tumors											
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion					



Bevacizumab	2A	No	Retrospective review	N/A		Recurrent disease after cytotoxic chemotherapy	• Bevacizumab demonstrated activity for the treatment of recurrent ovarian granulosa cell tumors with an ORR of 38%.
Bevacizumab	2A	No	<u>Phase 2 (GOG</u> <u>251)</u>	N/A	ORR	Recurrent disease; No prior bevacizumab	• Bevacizumab has activity in the treatment of recurrent sex cord-stromal tumors of the ovary with an ORR of 16.7%.
Leuprolide acetate	2A (granulosa cell tumors only)	No	Small study	N/A		First- or second-line	• Leuprolide acetate appears to have activity in patients with refractory ovarian granulosa cell tumor with an ORR of 40%.

Soft Tissue Sarcoma

Angiosarcoma	Angiosarcoma										
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End- Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion				
Bevacizumab	2A	No	<u>Phase 2,</u> open- label, multi-center	N/A	PFS	First- through fourth-line therapy	• Bevacizumab is an effective and well- tolerated treatment for metastatic or locally advanced angiosarcoma and epithelioid hemangio-endothelioma. 17% had a partial response and 50% showed stable disease.				
Paclitaxel	2A	No	<u>Phase 2</u> (ANGIOTAX)	N/A	PFS	All lines of therapy	• Paclitaxel demonstrated efficacy in patients with metastatic or unresectable angiosarcoma with a 2-month PFS rate of 74%.				



Docetaxel	2A	No	<u>Phase 2,</u> multi- center	N/A		Second-line	• Docetaxel has activity in adult soft tissue sarcoma in second-line therapy with a 17% partial response rate.
Sorafenib	2A	No	<u>Phase 2</u>	N/A	ORR	First- through fourth-line therapy	• As a single agent, sorafenib has activity against angiosarcoma and minimal activity against other sarcomas.
Sunitinib	2A	No (FDA approved for GIST)	Phase 2, open- label, multi-center	N/A	ORR	First- through third- line therapy	 Sunitinib demonstrated evidence of response in patients with non-GIST sarcoma. Specific results for patients with angiosarcoma however was not noted.
Pazopanib	2A	Yes for subsequent therapy	Phase 3 (PALETTE), randomized, double-blind	Placebo	PFS	Second-line or later therapy after prior anthracycline chemotherapy; Angiogenesis inhibitor-naive	 Pazopanib significantly prolonged median PFS compared to placebo in patients with metastatic STS who had failed at least one anthracycline-based chemotherapy. However, trend toward improved OS was not statistically significant.
Solitary Fibrous T	ſumor/Hemang	giopericytoma					1
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End- Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion
Bevacizumab + temozolomide	2A	No	Retrospective analysis	N/A		All lines of therapy	• Combination therapy with temozolomide and bevacizumab is a clinically beneficial regimen with a 79% partial response rate.
Sunitinib	2A	No	Retrospective analysis	N/A		All lines of therapy	 Sunitinib demonstrated clinical activity in patients with solitary fibrous tumors with 3 patients achieving a partial response and 16 patients with stable disease.
Sorafenib	2A	No	Subgroup analysis from a Phase 2	N/A		Second-line and later	• Data suggested a potential efficacy of sorafenib with 2 out of 5 patients achieving 9 months of disease control.



Pazopanib	2A	No	Retrospective analysis	N/A		First- and second- line	• Pazopanib is an effective treatment option for recurrent or metastatic solitary fibrous tumor in first- and second-line settings with an ORR of 50%.
-----------	----	----	---------------------------	-----	--	----------------------------	---

Endometrial Carcinoma

Recurrent, Meta	Recurrent, Metastatic, or High-Risk Disease										
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End- Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion				
Bevacizumab + carboplatin + paclitaxel	2A (for advanced or recurrent disease only)	No	Phase 2 (MITO Group END-2 trial), randomized	Carboplatin + paclitaxel		First- and second-line	• The addition of bevacizumab to carboplatin plus paclitaxel significantly increased PFS in advanced or recurrent endometrial cancer.				
Bevacizumab + carboplatin + paclitaxel	2A (for advanced or recurrent disease only)	No	Retrospective analysis	N/A		First- and second-line	• Combination therapy with bevacizumab, paclitaxel, and carboplatin demonstrated an ORR of 82.8%, PFS of 20 months, and OS of 56 months.				
Carboplatin + paclitaxel (TC)	2A preferred	No	Phase 3 (GOG 209), non- inferiority, randomized	Cisplatin + doxorubicin + paclitaxel + filgrastim (TAP)		First-line	• TC is not inferior to TAP in terms of PFS and OS. Overall, the toxicity profile favors TC.				
Cisplatin + doxorubicin + paclitaxel (TAP)	2A	No	<u>Phase 3 (GOG</u> <u>177)</u>	Cisplatin + doxorubicin (AP)	OS	Chemo-therapy naive	• TAP significantly improves ORR, PFS, and OS compared with AP				



Bevacizumab	2A (after progression on prior cytotoxic chemo)	No	Phase 2	N/A	6-mon PFS 6-mon OS	Second- or third-line therapy	 Bevacizumab is clinically active based on PFS at 6 months of 40.4% in recurrent or persistent endometrial carcinoma
Paclitaxel	2A	No	<u>GOG study</u>	N/A		Second-line	• Paclitaxel is an active agent in the treatment of endometrial cancer in patients who have had prior chemotherapy with an ORR of 27.3%
Liposomal doxorubicin	2A	No	Phase 2	N/A		Second-line	• Liposomal doxorubicin has only limited activity (ORR 9.5%) in pretreated advanced, recurrent endometrial cancer
Temsirolimus	2A	No	Phase 2	N/A	ORR	All lines of therapy	• Temsirolimus demonstrated clinical activity with ORR higher in chemo-naïve patients than in chemo-treated patients

Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma

First-Line Therap	First-Line Therapy										
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End- Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion				
Bevacizumab + cisplatin + pemetrexed, followed by maintenance bevacizumab	1 (for unresectable disease only)	No	Phase 3 (MAPS), multi-center, randomized, controlled, open- label	Cisplatin + pemetrexed	OS	Chemo-naïve	• Addition of bevacizumab to pemetrexed plus cisplatin significantly improved OS in malignant pleural mesothelioma at the cost of expected manageable toxic effects, therefore it should be considered as a suitable treatment for the disease.				
Cisplatin + pemetrexed	1	Yes	Phase 3, randomized	Cisplatin		Chemo-naïve	Treatment with pemetrexed plus cisplatin and vitamin supplementation resulted in				

EOCCO.com



							superior survival time, time to progression, and response rates compared with treatment with cisplatin alone in patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma.
Bevacizumab + carboplatin + pemetrexed	2A	No	<u>Phase 2</u>	N/A	PFS	First-line	 Bevacizumab, carboplatin, and pemetrexed achieved a 34.2% partial response and 57.9% stable disease. The primary end point of the trial was not reached
Carboplatin + pemetrexed	2A	No	<u>Phase 2</u>	N/A		First-line	• This combination of carboplatin and pemetrexed is moderately active with an ORR of 25%
Carboplatin + pemetrexed	2A	No	<u>Phase 2,</u> multi- center	N/A	ORR	Chemo-naïve	• Disease control rate, time to disease progression, and overall survival were similar to the results achieved with the standard regimen of pemetrexed and cisplatin, suggesting that the carboplatin combination could be an alternative option for these patients.

Vulvar Cancer

Advanced, Recur	Advanced, Recurrent/Metastatic Disease - Squamous cell carcinoma										
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End- Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion				
Bevacizumab + cisplatin + paclitaxel	2A preferred	No	Phase 3 (GOG- 0240), randomized, controlled, open- label	Cisplatin + paclitaxel vs. topotecan + cisplatin + paclitaxel vs. topotecan + paclitaxel	OS	Persistent, recurrent, or metastatic <i>cervical</i> <i>cancer</i> (74% had received prior chemoradiation)	• Bevacizumab improved survival in patients with advanced <i>cervical cancer</i> with by 3.5 months compared to chemotherapy alone.				

EOCCO.com



Cervical cancer data										
Cisplatin	2A preferred	No	No clinical literatur	No clinical literature to support use.						
Carboplatin	2A preferred	No	No clinical literature to support use.							
Cisplatin + paclitaxel (TP) <i>Cervical cancer</i> data	2A preferred	No	<u>Phase 3</u> (<u>JCOG0505),</u> randomized	Carboplatin + paclitaxel (TC)	OS	≤ 1 platinum-regimen and no prior taxane	TC was non-inferior to TP in patients with metastatic or recurrent <i>cervical cancer</i>			
Carboplatin + paclitaxel	2A preferred	No	See cisplatin + pacl	itaxel above						

Small Bowel Adenocarcinoma (SBA)/Advanced Ampullary Cancer

Advanced or Me	Advanced or Metastatic Disease – Initial Therapy									
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion			
Bevacizumab + FOLFOX	2A (if appropriate for intensive therapy)	No	<u>Retrospective</u> <u>study</u>	N/A		First- and second-line	• Bevacizumab plus chemotherapy demonstrated an OS of 21.9 months.			
Bevacizumab + CapeOx	2A (if appropriate for intensive therapy)	No	<u>Phase 2,</u> single- center, open- label	N/A	6-mon PFS	Untreated disease	• The results of the current study indicate that CapeOX with bevacizumab is an active regimen (6-mon PFS rate 68%) for patients with SBA.			
FOLFOX	2A	No	Phase 2	N/A		First-line	• The modified FOLFOX as first-line therapy demonstrated an ORR of 48.5% in patients with advanced SBA.			



FOLFOX	2A	No	Phase 2, multi- center, single- arm, open- label	N/A	1-year PFs	First-line	• Although the primary endpoint was not met, mFOLFOX6 showed effective with an ORR of 45% and 1-year PFS rate of 23% as a first-line treatment for SBA.
CapeOX	2A	No	<u>Phase 2</u>	N/A	ORR	First-line	• CapeOX produced an ORR of 50%, with 10% achieving complete response.

Hepatocellular Adenocarcinoma

First-line therap	First-line therapy										
Regimen	NCCN Category	FDA Approved	Trial Design	Comparator	Primary End-Point	Line of Therapy	Conclusion				
Bevacizumab + atezolizumab	1 preferred for Child-Pugh Class A only	Yes	Phase 3 (IMbrave150), multicenter, open-label, randomized	Sorafenib	PFS PS	First-line	 In patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma, atezolizumab combined with bevacizumab resulted in better overall and progression-free survival outcomes than sorafenib. 				